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Securing the Future of India’s cities

India’s cities are burgeoning. Our cities are expected to host over 800 million citizens by 2050, over half of India’s population\(^1\).

---

Securing our urban future hinges on striking a balance between the 4 Es - Economic growth, Environmental sustainability, Equitable access to opportunities, services, and democratic Engagement, a balance that remains elusive in our current cities. These 4Es are four distinct yet interrelated reasons why India needs to consider its cities as among its top human development priorities.

“...It is not enough to think in terms of traditional infrastructure creation. That was a thing of the past. Instead, let us look to the future and focus on creating a holistic ecosystem for ensuring last mile access to services, enhanced operational efficiencies, and integration of digital technology.

Hardeep Singh Puri
Minister of Housing and Urban Affairs & Petroleum and Natural Gas
Government of India

Quote from Minister’s address at the National Workshop on Capacity Building of Urban Local Bodies on 05th October, 2023

Economy and job creation

Cities have always been economic entities. Enabling a sustainable economy is critical to the health and growth of any city. The economy of a modern city is heavily dependent on its ability to attract and retain high-quality human talent. This ability, in turn, is influenced by the availability of employment opportunities and the quality of physical infrastructure, especially mobility and networked infrastructure, covering not just roads, rail and public transport, but also housing, water supply, power, sewerage, telecom and so on.

Cities drive economic growth and job creation of provinces and countries. If India needs to alleviate poverty, our cities need to work well for us in terms of economic productivity.

Equitable access to services and opportunities

The informal economy of a city is as important as the formal economy and provides far greater livelihood opportunities in terms of numbers, especially to the urban poor, both for the already-resident urban poor as well as for the significant influx of the migrant poor who move to cities in search of better economic opportunities. While the role of the urban poor in sustaining the economic engine is paramount to the success of a city, very little support is made available by cities formally to the urban poor. The acute shortage of affordable housing options creates a cycle of social inequity where the poor live in a state of constant vulnerability and inadequate access to basic civic amenities.

There is already a huge deficit in infrastructure and service delivery in our cities. We risk exacerbating this deficit further due to the exponential growth in population, with significant adverse impacts on the urban poor and other marginalised groups. We therefore need to urgently address equitable access to housing services and job opportunities in our cities.
Environment

Cities are not just centres of employment but situated in the ecological continuum, and must also provide a sense of cultural belonging and well-being to its citizens. Improving the environment and preserving the heritage are therefore vital to meeting the growing aspirations of citizens. The urban environment is vulnerable due to rapid economic and demographic pressures, and their demands result in industrial pollution, whether within or outside their limits, and degradation of the quality of air, water and soil. In addition, vehicular pollution and concentrated consumption, that is unaccompanied by integrated and scientific waste management has compounded the challenge.

The importance of nurturing the environment is becoming self-evident with pervasive heat waves during summer and flooding during monsoons, besides air and water pollution. Our development needs to nurture the environment- both natural and built, and not come at the cost of it.

Engagement

As a democracy, the 3 Es of Economic growth and job creation, Equitable access to housing, services and job opportunities, and Environment sustainability would need to necessarily be circumscribed by voice and agency of citizens which is best given expression through the 4th E of democratic Engagement. India’s cities with 50% of India’s population by 2050 would also be the throbbing heart of India’s democracy. Human development in our cities that balances the 4 Es is critical not just to cities and citizens, but to India and Indians in general.
India’s urbanisation: few key insights

India’s urbanisation taken together with systems of urban governance in India exhibit certain distinct characteristics and possibilities. This section discusses four such characteristics and possibilities which need to be addressed and leveraged for India to fully realise the benefits of urbanisation and surmount related challenges.
Taking stock of India’s urban transitions

India is 31 per cent urban according to Census 2011. This includes 318 million people in 4,041 statutory towns and 54 million in 3,892 census towns. Statutory towns are settlements defined by municipal legislations of respective states as urban such as municipal corporations, municipalities and town panchayats. Census towns are settlements identified as urban by the Census of India.

According to Article 243Q of 74th CAA, three types of statutory towns shall be constituted by states:

1. Nagar Panchayat for an area in transition from a rural area to an urban area
2. Municipal Council for a smaller urban area
3. Municipal Corporation for a larger urban area

In the case of 2 and 3, areas are defined as municipal councils or municipal corporations based on their total population, density of population, revenue generated for local administration, percentage of employment in non-agricultural activities, economic importance or other such factors, as the Governor of the state may deem fit.

Census towns are areas which are not statutorily notified but are classified by the Census of India as urban as they satisfy the following three conditions:

01 A minimum population of 5,000
02 At least 75 per cent of the male main working population engaged in non-agricultural pursuits
03 A population density of at least 400 persons per sq. km.

A World Bank report of 2016 states that the Census definition of India is too stringent as compared to other countries and estimates that India’s urban population is over 50 per cent. According to the satellite data of the Global Human Settlements Layer (GHSL) of the Group on Earth Observations at the European Commission, India was 63 per cent urban in 2015 which is almost double the urbanisation rate as that of Census 2011.

---

While the 74th CAA provides the framework for defining a city government, the respective states decide the specific criteria to define statutory towns.

A rural settlement becomes urban only when the state notifies such area as urban. Across states, there are as many as six factors defining statutory towns in respective municipal acts used either individually or in combination. Thresholds with individual factors such as population size also differ between states.

**Figure 1: India could be far more urban**

- **Statutory Definition**: 26%
- **Census Definition**: 31%
- **5,000+ population Definition of Ghana**: 47%
- **2,500+ population Definition of Mexico**: 65%

**Did you know?**

If India uses the norm of 5,000+ population followed in Chhattisgarh, Nagaland and Punjab for classification of an area as a statutory town, India would be 47% urban.
The minimum threshold for the declaration of statutory towns differs across India. For example, the minimum population requirement for classifying a transitional area is 2,000 in Himachal Pradesh and 30,000 in Tamil Nadu. While for large urban areas, the definitions vary from 50,000 in Himachal Pradesh to 5 lakhs in West Bengal. There is emerging recognition of the need to estimate urbanisation considering data on mobility and labour markets, density and built-up forms and night time data, some of which are not considered by states in defining statutory towns.

The way urban is defined has far-reaching consequences on planned urbanisation of census towns and peri-urban areas that display the characteristics of urban areas yet are governed as rural. For example, there are census towns near mega cities with a population of up to 75,000 which are larger than many statutory towns in the same state. Even though most of their residents may be engaged in non-agricultural pursuits, they are still administered as rural areas. The lack of a well thought out and systematic process often results in isolated decisions to notify a rural area as urban, making the transition from rural to urban mostly poorly planned. There are pros and cons for local governments and citizens in transitioning from a rural to an urban area. While a rural government may offer access to a certain set of schemes and missions and funds, for example, MGNREGA, lower or no taxes and user charges, more permissive or no building bye-laws, an urban government may have access to schemes and missions that offer better quality infrastructure.

Initiatives by the Government of India

In 2015, MoHUA urged 28 states to convert 3,784 census towns into statutory towns to promote planned urban development. The number of statutory towns has increased by 20% from 4,041 in 2011 to 4,852 in 2023.

Odisha’s Rural-Urban Transition Policy

In July 2023, Govt of Odisha approved the ‘Odisha Rural-Urban Transition Policy’ which aims at providing essential urban infrastructure, amenities and services to all citizens residing in the fast-growing rural areas adjoining cities and through that ensuring planned urbanisation.

Peri-urban and rural areas in Odisha have been grappling with the challenges of infrastructure and services even after being notified as urban. To enable smoother and planned transition from a rural area to an urban area, the Rural-Urban Transition Policy aims at providing urban infrastructure and services to people in identified rural areas much before the areas are formally notified as urban areas. The policy allows the creation of a special budget for the implementation of infrastructure projects and delivery of services while actively involving elected representatives, officials, and other stakeholders in the planning, execution and monitoring of the transition process.

Under the policy, rural areas after identification will be declared as urban areas coming into effect from the date on which the tenure of the prevalent elected council expires. During the interim period, the notified rural area will be taken up for up-gradation of infrastructure and services to provide all urban services on par with the adjacent urban areas.

This policy entails the establishment of steering and implementation committees comprising senior state government officials, including the chief secretary, along with experts from diverse fields like urban planning, finance, engineering, management, and information technology. This measure aims to facilitate the alignment of government initiatives and foster inter-departmental collaboration, thereby facilitating a seamless and efficient transformation of peri-urban and rural areas into fully developed urban regions.

Leveraging spatial contiguity

The million-plus cities, > 100 k cities and < 100 k cities each host approximately one-third of India’s urban population (Table 1).

Table 1: Population across tiers of cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City population category</th>
<th>Number of city governments</th>
<th>Population (ln Mn)</th>
<th>% Urban Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 Mn</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>18.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 Mn</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>18.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500K - 1Mn</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>9.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100K-500K</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>23.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100K</td>
<td>3,565</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>31.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,041</td>
<td>318.5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Analysis based on Census 2011
Yet they are likely to have very diverse characteristics in terms of demography, socio-economic character, dominant sectors of jobs and employment and therefore potential for economic growth, their infrastructure requirements and capacities and resources of their local governments. Yet most often, we do not necessarily see a differentiated approach to funding and governance of these cities. They are largely governed by similar legislations and funded by similar schemes and missions.

Million-plus cities are very often large economies (most certainly four million-plus cities) in themselves and have benefits of historical legacy as regional or state capitals. They may have legacy benefits of better quality infrastructure and service delivery, the economic impulse to raise their own resources and local governments with better capacities.

Table 2: Mapping of all cities with >100 k population in 60 km radius

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Category</th>
<th>ULBs</th>
<th>Population (Mn)</th>
<th>ULBs in 60 km radius cluster</th>
<th>Cluster Population (Mn)</th>
<th>Total population in 60 km radius</th>
<th>% of urban population</th>
<th>ULBs + CTs in 60 km radius</th>
<th>Cluster population (Mn)</th>
<th>Total population in 60 km radius</th>
<th>% of urban population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4 Mn</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1 Mn (incl 4 Mn)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>165.3</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>188.4</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500 k (incl &gt;1 Mn)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>207.9</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>3,331</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>237.3</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100 k (incl&gt;500 k)</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>300.2</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>6,310</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>348.2</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Analysis based on census 2011
Note: (1) Categories are not defined as 1 Mn – 4 Mn or 100 k – 1 Mn because, to estimate total cluster population above 100 k cities, simple summation of the cluster population of such categories would result in overestimation / duplicity due to overlaps in radii of different categories of cities
(2) The cluster population cuts across state boundaries if the 60 km radius of a ULB also flows into a neighbouring state

The < 100 k cities however may suffer from fast-paced urbanisation, only a modest economic base and weak capacities in local governments. While some of them may have the advantages of proximity to larger cities or economic growth corridors, several others may not. India’s governance and financing of its cities cannot be one-size fits all.

Yet, we do not necessarily have to view them as 4,000+ distinct spatial, economic or governance units. 50 per cent of India’s urban population lives within 60 km of a statutory town with a population of greater than one million and 92 per cent within 60 km of a statutory town with a population of greater than one lakh (Table 2). This spatial pattern of urbanisation in India offers a huge opportunity to leverage platform/gig economies, drive job creation and economic growth and technology and innovation through agglomeration economies, reduce carbon footprint, and establish sustainable farm-to-fork supply chains for cities, all at once.
While there are 470+ urban clusters when seen through the lens of spatial contiguity, these are not recognised administrative units. They may cut across districts and sometimes even state boundaries. Further, they also do not address emerging rural-urban transitions and the need for integrated place-based governance that goes beyond the rural-urban binary. This is where districts emerge as well-recognised administrative units of governance which have possibly not yet been fully leveraged in urban governance. As can be seen in Table 3, there are 640 districts as per census 2011.

Table 3: Population across tiers of cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts in India (Census 2011)</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India’s rate of urbanisation</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with rate of urbanisation higher than the national average</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a significantly higher rate of urbanisation than state*</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a significantly lower rate of urbanisation than state*</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a very high rate of urbanisation (&gt;80%)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a high rate of urbanisation (60-80%)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a moderate rate of urbanisation (40-60%)</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a low rate of urbanisation (20-40%)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of districts with a very low rate of urbanisation (&lt; 20%)</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An analysis of district level urbanisation particularly in less urbanised states reveals how a state level perspective of urbanisation percentage alone may not provide the whole picture (Figure 3).

As can be seen in Table 3, 181 districts are more urban than the national average, and 72 districts across 27 states/UTs are significantly more urban than their state average, and of these 72 districts, 23 districts that are significantly more urbanised are from eight states consisting of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh and Meghalaya that have low levels of urbanisation. It is crucial that such fast urbanising districts do not remain blind spots and receive the policy attention they need.
Figure 3: Rate of urbanisation across districts in India

Source: Analysis based on Census 2011.
Note: Data for Ladakh was unavailable.
Uttar Pradesh, which is 22.27 per cent urbanised has eight districts, including Jhansi, Varanasi, Agra, Meerut, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Kanpur Nagar, Lucknow and Ghaziabad, which are more urbanised than the state average, ranging between 40-68 percent.

Figure 4: Rate of urbanisation across districts of Uttar Pradesh

Odisha which is only 16.69 per cent urbanised has three districts, including Sundargarh, Jharsuguda and Khordha whose percentage of urbanisation ranges from 35-48 per cent.

Figure 5: Rate of urbanisation across districts of Odisha
Article 243ZD of the 74th CAA demonstrated foresight in calling for District Planning Committees (DPCs) for coordinated regional planning at the district level across both rural and urban areas (excluding million-plus cities that are envisaged to be covered by MPCs).

**Did you know?**

Article 243ZD of the Constitution mandates the constitution of the DPC to consolidate the plans prepared by the panchayats and the municipalities in the district and to prepare a draft development plan for the district. The CAG’s performance audit on the implementation of 74th CAA across 13 states finds that while DPCs have been constituted in 9 out of 13 states assessed, they prepared district development plans in only four states.

The second ARC, observed that in a decentralised regime, there is a need for a district-level body, that not only coordinates between the individual rural and urban local governments but also takes responsibility for such tasks of local administration that cannot be effectively discharged by the individual local governments. Therefore, it recommended a ‘district council’ with representation from rural and urban areas, with the DPC being its advisory arm.

Even as DPCs remain an unfulfilled Constitutional agenda for local self-governance, districts are de facto emerging as units of urban governance through the role played by district collectors. For example, the district collector is the member secretary of the District Level Advisory and Monitoring Committees (DLAMC) in cities with <40 lakh population set up to oversee, review and monitor key programmes of MoHUA.
District collectors already play a significant role in city governance, especially in smaller cities. India’s municipal legislations specifically recognise the role of district collectors in city governance.

**Role of district collectors in India’s city governance**

District collectors across states play an important role in city governments. They make decisions on important functions such as municipal elections, meeting proceedings, urban planning, emergency response, finance, property, etc. Some of the key functions of district collectors are given below:

01 Municipal council

- In Madhya Pradesh (municipalities), the district collector is empowered to take part in any meeting in their jurisdiction.
- In Maharashtra (municipalities), the collector can nominate a predetermined number of councillors (non-elected) who have special knowledge or experience in urban governance to the municipal council.

02 Functions related to urban planning

- In Odisha and Kerala, the district collector is the vice chairperson and a member of the district planning committee respectively.
- In Rajasthan, the city development plan is prepared in consultation with the district collector.

03 Emergency powers

- In cases of emergency, in Goa (municipalities), Maharashtra (municipalities), Rajasthan and Haryana (municipalities), the district collector is empowered to provide for the execution of any work and direct the expenditure for resolution of the problem.

04 Financial powers

- In Maharashtra (municipalities) and Odisha (municipalities), if the council is unable to approve the budget within the stipulated time period, the collector shall do so with or without modifications.
Recent studies suggest that several social and environmental issues cannot be solved through a dichotomous rural vs urban governance paradigm, where rural and urban areas are treated as distinct and unrelated even though they may practically be adjoining areas. Social and economic ties transcend municipal and political boundaries and therefore urban and rural areas interact very closely in a wide range of ways.4

In countries such as Portugal, Mexico, New Zealand and South Africa there is no distinction made between urban and rural areas in terms of governance jurisdictions. In the case of South Africa, provinces are divided into metropolitan (for larger cities) and district municipalities (for other areas). District municipalities are further divided into local municipalities which govern smaller areas within district municipalities. All such municipalities provide services and co-ordinate development across their administrative area irrespective of whether the areas are rural or urban in nature. Rural areas are found in all three municipalities.5 This allows local governments to undertake integrated and coordinated planning in respect of mobility, livelihoods, resource allocation and so on.

In recent years in India the rural-urban continuum has developed in various parts of the country. Urban industrial areas in India are developing by linking rural areas. Small towns are emerging around mega cities and urban corridors are passing through rural hinterlands.6 The current governance paradigm does not adequately factor in these inter linkages. The same may warrant dedicated further study basis demographic and spatial analysis.

Did you know?

In February 2022, in a move to improve the quality of services provided by local bodies and increase decentralisation, the Government of Kerala unified five departments namely Departments of Panchayat, Rural Development, Urban Development, Urban-Rural Planning, and LSG Engineering into a Unified Local Self Governance Department. The reform aims to improve coordination of administration between urban and rural departments. Additionally, a joint directorate at the district level in charge of all the local bodies in the district has been formed to improve planning and execution of development activities alongside the DPC.


---


Systemic nature of India’s urban challenges

Every year we see challenges in several of our cities’ making headlines in newspapers and occupying prime time on television news. The same events recur, just like the monsoons that greet us every year and bring with them the familiar sights of waterlogging, potholes and endless traffic jams.
From floods and garbage crises to power cuts and pollution, so many of the issues that our cities and towns face repeat like a broken record, over and over again. One could say that our cities are not faced with a hundred different problems but the same problems repeated a hundred times.

To address these recurring urban challenges, we need to fix the ‘root causes’ that lie within ‘city-systems’. Janaagraha’s “city-systems” framework consists of four distinct but inter-related components that together make up urban governance – Urban Planning and Design (spatial planning, land and design of public spaces), Urban Capacities and Resources (finance, staffing, performance management, and digitalisation in city governments), Empowered and Legitimate Political Representation (empowered and accountable mayors and councils) and Transparency, Accountability and Participation (citizen participation in neighbourhood-level governance). We believe fixing India’s city-systems is a necessary pre-condition for a better quality of life and for preserving and nurturing the natural environment of our cities and towns.

We believe fixing India’s city-systems is a necessary pre-condition for a better quality of life and for preserving and nurturing the natural environment of our cities and towns.

Annual Survey of India’s City-Systems (ASICS) evaluates the quality of governance in cities by assessing the quality of laws, policies, institutions and institutional processes that together help govern them. These are what we refer to as city-systems, i.e., urban governance, the foundation on which a good quality of life is built.
ASICS does not focus on the quality of life that citizens experience in their everyday lives in Indian cities. Instead, it seeks to serve as an annual health check-up of the quality of laws, policies, institutions and institutional processes underlying the quality of life in our cities. ASICS, since its first edition in 2013, has improved its coverage besides strengthening its methodology. In its past editions, ASICS evaluated cities by scoring them on a scale of 0 to 10. The ASICS score of a city is an indication of the health of its governance systems and therefore its ability to deliver a good quality of life in the medium to long term. Further, global cities such as New York, London, and Johannesburg (in 2017) were added to the study to serve as reference points for India’s cities.
The key messages from ASICS since its first edition in 2013 have remained consistent. The pace of city-systems reforms in India has been painfully slow, and India’s cities are caught in a bad status quo. It also highlights that state governments need to take leadership on city-systems reforms, and not rely only on the schemes and missions of the union government. Further, it identifies that India needs to address the following five systemic challenges in order to deliver a better quality of life to citizens in a sustainable manner.

- Lack of a modern, contemporary framework of spatial planning of cities and design standards for public utilities
- Weak finances, both in terms of financial sustainability and financial accountability of cities
- Poor human resource management, in terms of number of staff, skills and competencies of staff, organisation design and performance management
- Powerless mayors and city councils and severe fragmentation of governance across municipalities, parastatal agencies and state departments
- Absence of systematic citizen participation and transparency
In its sixth edition, ASICS focusses attention on the quality of municipal and town and country planning acts.

ASICS 2023 evaluates the quality of urban laws (municipal acts and town and country planning acts) of all 35 states/Union Territories (UTs) in India through 52 questions using the city-systems framework. Further, to make the study more relevant, 27 additional data sets were analysed. For example, data on implementation aspects such as cities with active master plans, ward committees, disclosure of civic data by cities, as well as data that offers perspectives on India’s urbanisation such as the definition of urban in India, district urbanisation patterns basis census 2011 etc. CAG performance audit reports on the implementation of 74th CAA across 13 states were also analysed. Cities in India are principally governed by respective state municipal acts and town and country planning acts. An evaluation of the quality of these acts along with allied acts such as community participation law or public disclosure law (where they are separate), policy documents such as open data policy give a comprehensive understanding of the quality of urban governance in India from a root cause perspective.

01 Assessment period spanning 12+ months Dec 21 - Dec 22
02 Across urban India covering 28 States and 7 UTs
03 82 municipal legislations
04 44 town and country planning acts
05 176 allied acts, rules and notifications
06 32 policy/scheme/other documents
07 52 questions
08 100+ parameters
09 27 additional data sets

*Lakshadweep does not have a statutory town, and hence not covered

---

7 Excluding Lakshadweep which does not have a statutory town and a municipal legislation
8 Assessment based on CAG performance audit reports on the implementation of 74th CAA of 13 states including Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, and Uttarakhand. The audit period was from 2015-2020 excluding Kerala whose audit period was 2015 - March 2021. A total of 470 cities across 13 states were covered in these audits.
ASICS, over editions has attempted to improve the representation of cities in a phased manner, beginning with 11 cities in 2013 to 23 cities in 2017. In this edition, the aim is to scale up to the entire country by covering all 82 municipal legislations and 44 TCP Acts across 35 states/UTs. Our aspiration is to have every city of India measured as part of ASICS. For this, we aim to adopt a two-pronged approach of assessing the quality of urban laws and policies across the country, as well as to assess implementation levels across all 4,700+ cities in a phased manner. In future editions, we also hope to cover parastatal agencies such as development authorities, water supply boards, city transport corporations and other city or state urban parastatals, given their role in city-systems.

It may be noted that cities, municipalities, city governments and urban local bodies (ULBs) are interchangeably used in this report.

The four high-level observations of ASICS 2023 are as follows:

01 Urban legislations are not available in the public domain in accessible formats. Only 49% of states/UTs have put out municipal legislations on websites of respective state urban departments.

Only 17/35 states in India make available their respective municipal legislations on the websites of state urban departments.

Of these 17, only four states have made available a comprehensive set of amendments to municipal legislations.

10 states have uploaded only scanned copies of laws restricting the ability to navigate the document seamlessly.

Only six states have made available all allied rules and legislations comprehensively.
The landscape of municipal legislations varies across the states. 15 states have a single municipal legislation governing all their cities. Five states/UTs do not have their own urban laws and have remained same as their parent state’s legislation.

While most states have separate legislations for municipalities, 15 states have a single municipal act governing their cities irrespective of the city size.

Figure 6: Landscape of India’s urban legislations

- **Tamil Nadu** has 22 municipal legislations, thus being the state with the highest number of municipal legislations in India.
According to Census 2011, the city of Alwar in Rajasthan has a population of around three lakhs, while Jaipur has a population of over 21 lakhs. As both of them are governed by Rajasthan Municipalities Act, 2009, both cities are mandated to form ‘wards’ committees consisting of one or more wards. While Alwar has 50 wards with an average population of 6,000 persons in each ward, Jaipur has 77 wards with an average population of close to 40,000 persons with the largest ward having close to 94,000 people. Given the average size of a ward in Jaipur, provision for a ‘ward’ committee in each ward instead of ‘wards’ committee would have been more suitable to bring governance closer to the citizens.

Did you know?

According to Census 2011, the city of Alwar in Rajasthan has a population of around three lakhs, while Jaipur has a population of over 21 lakhs. As both of them are governed by Rajasthan Municipalities Act, 2009, both cities are mandated to form ‘wards’ committees consisting of one or more wards. While Alwar has 50 wards with an average population of 6,000 persons in each ward, Jaipur has 77 wards with an average population of close to 40,000 persons with the largest ward having close to 94,000 people. Given the average size of a ward in Jaipur, provision for a ‘ward’ committee in each ward instead of ‘wards’ committee would have been more suitable to bring governance closer to the citizens.

While million plus cities such as Chennai, Bengaluru and Mumbai have their own city specific legislations, they do not however address metropolitan level governance and are only municipal acts that govern a part of the city based on municipal boundaries.

Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh and Ladakh have not created their own distinct municipal and town and country planning acts and have chosen to continue with the legacy of the erstwhile undivided states they were a part of. Similarly, Jharkhand, Dadra and Nagar Haveli, have not updated their town and country planning acts.

The roles and responsibilities of elected representatives are not clear because they come from the Uttar Pradesh Municipal Act. Uttarakhand and Uttar Pradesh have many different things. The topography and demography that characterise the hilly state are different from Uttar Pradesh. We keep fighting for our own laws, bye-laws and roles.”

Rohan Chandel
Councillor
Dehradun
Relative strength of urban laws varies across the country.

- Eastern states, comprising Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Odisha and West Bengal, have relatively better urban legislations followed by southern states, comprising Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Puducherry, Tamil Nadu and Telangana. They have relatively better provisions relating to the empowerment of mayors. Most of the eastern states (Bihar, Jharkhand and Odisha) have directly elected mayors with all of them empowering their mayors with a tenure of five years.

- Municipal corporations have relatively better laws than municipalities and town panchayats across the city-systems components. They are more empowered than municipalities with power over taxation, functions and staff. For instance, states such as Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh and Telangana do not empower their municipalities to invest surplus monies without the prior approval of the state whereas their municipal corporations are.

- Highly urbanised and large states also perform better than less urbanised and smaller states specifically in urban planning. States such as Maharashtra, Kerala and Andhra Pradesh mandate creation of spatial plans at regional levels. They also mandate sector-specific planning for sanitation, resilience, mobility, sustainability, heritage conservation and social development.

- The laws of UTs are particularly weak in terms of transparency, accountability and participation, followed by empowerment of mayors and councils. Most UTs do not have provisions for ward committees and/or area sabhas. No UTs have provisions for an Ombudsman for service-related issues. 50% of UTs do not have a mayor with five year tenure.
Only five per cent of the amendments to municipal legislations have been of systemic nature

Our analysis of amendments to municipal legislations up to 31st December, 2022, across 35 states/UTs indicates 882 amendments to 3,529 provisions, of which only 5 per cent relate to ‘city-systems’.

Figure 7: Top five areas of amendments to municipal legislations

Source: Analysis based on the amendments passed to municipal legislations across 35 states/UTs sourced from Manupatra (an online database for legal research)

Note: The analysis applies from the enactment of the respective municipal legislations up to 31st December, 2022
Ten instruments of change

Urban India’s challenges are too deep and systemic for us to take just tactical stabs at fixing them. We need a long-term strategic view of urban transformation in India, even as we focus on short-term projects. This essentially implies a twin track approach of projects and reforms.
The Government of India has significantly increased outlays for urban development through its centrally sponsored schemes and missions over the years, including for medium to long-term projects such as metro rail. We see an increase in spending in particular from 2015-2016 owing to the introduction of several urban missions and schemes by the union government. The outlays to Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA) have increased from approximately INR 8,000 crores in 2009-10 to approximately INR 47,000 crores in 2021-22, an increase of 488% (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Union budgetary allocations to MoHUA and grants from XIII-XV Finance Commissions to ULBs

However, much of the progress has been targeted at service delivery. Swachh Bharat Mission in cities (solid and liquid waste), Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) (water, sewerage and stormwater drains), Smart Cities Mission (technology, other projects) and even state-level schemes and missions have focused on infrastructure and service delivery. While mandatory reform conditions in missions such as JnNURM and AMRUT did help in advancing systemic reforms in cities, states often did not institutionalise such efforts. For example, enactment of the community participation law was a mandatory reform condition under JnNURM. While 30 states legislated only 16 notified corresponding rules to enable implementation on the ground. State governments too appear to be gaining traction on their own urban development agendas, though a more detailed study of trends in outlays is needed.

Yet, we are playing catch-up because urbanisation in India is outpacing these efforts and resulting in persisting or rising challenges in the quality of life in our cities. We firmly believe this is on account of poor urban governance systems in India, what we refer to as “city-systems”.

India has not made progress on city-systems reforms commensurate with the pace of urbanisation and progress been made on outlays for urban
development projects. While the union government can indeed undertake measures such as overhauling or updating the 74th CAA, linking a larger share of scheme funds to reforms, and demonstrating innovations in reforms and handholding states, the lever of urban transformation essentially lies with state governments. Under Article 246 (seventh schedule) of the Constitution local governments are listed under List II – State List.

Irrespective of the 74th CAA, it is state municipal acts and town and country planning acts and allied rules and policies covered under ASICS 2023 that will determine the quality of city-systems (read urban governance) in our cities.

We believe India needs to traverse a meaningful and deliberate middle path between an urban idealism of directly elected mayors with unfettered powers and a near-sighted pragmatism of patchwork and band-aids, where, for example, the focus is on filling potholes rather than laying good roads and footpaths. This is indeed possible if we adapt urban agendas to context, by state, and by type and size of cities, and leverage the benefits of ten specific levers of transformative change.
Spatial Development Plans (SDPs) that are Prepared, Implemented and Enforced, based on contemporary planning legislations founded on a framework that integrates the 4 Es of Economy, Equity, Environment, and Engagement will fundamentally transform the spatial character of our cities.

“Our cities need a structured framework to address the challenges of plan preparation, implementation of project priorities and enforcement of regulations. The current master plan efforts fall short in coordination with regional, para-statal and municipal institutions, and effective translation of the ideas gathered for Economy, Environment, Equity and Engagement, with the end result today focusing unduly on land-use. A structured framework can help better translation from vision and policy to practice and impact, and with which each city is able to protect and promote its own unique identity and strengths.”

Swati Ramanathan
Co-Founder
Jana Group
Robust spatial planning is a pre-requisite for economically vibrant, environmentally sustainable and equitable cities.

Our evaluation reveals several issues across the spatial planning PIE of Plan Preparation, Implementation and Enforcement in India’s cities.

Figure 9: 39% of capital cities in India do not have an active master plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Size</th>
<th>Number of Cities with Active Master Plans</th>
<th>Number of Cities with Inactive Master Plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small (&lt;0.5 million)</td>
<td>6 out of 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (0.5-1 million)</td>
<td>5 out of 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (1-4 million)</td>
<td>5 out of 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega (&gt;4 million)</td>
<td>4 out of 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of capital cities with active master plans by city size

Source: Data collected through websites of urban development authorities/ULBs, phone calls made to respective ULBs and urban development authorities, and newspaper reports as of 30th March 2023

Note:
1. As Chandigarh is a UT and the capital of Punjab and Haryana, it is counted only once. Hence, there are 33 unique capital cities across the 35 states/UTs.
2. Master plans that have lapsed and have not been replaced by the new master plan due for the city are treated as inactive master plans.
Robust plan preparation is fundamental in improving the quality of infrastructure and services for residents and avoiding urban sprawl. However, at least 39 per cent of the capital cities in India do not have active spatial plans.

Integrating plans at different levels of geographic scale i.e. regional, municipal or city, and neighbourhood or local or ward, is important to translate the vision for the region to that of the individual urban settlement and finally to quality of life for residents at the neighbourhood level. This requires establishing three levels of SDPs. Our analysis of 44 Town and Country Planning (TCP) acts across 35 states/UTs finds that only seven states mandate the creation of three levels of SDPs (regional, municipal and ward level plans). These are Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Delhi, Haryana (municipal corporations), Jharkhand, Telangana (Hyderabad) and Uttar Pradesh (municipal corporations).

Three-tiered SDPs are particularly relevant for million-plus cities but only 34 per cent of large cities and 25 per cent of mega cities have mandates for three-tiered planning.

Further, only seven states mandate sectoral plans (separate/as part of the SDP) by law across key sectors – sanitation, resilience/disaster management, mobility, environment and sustainability, heritage, social development including education, health, and affordable housing.

Table 4: The mandate for sectoral planning is relatively lower for smaller cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mandate for</th>
<th>Mega (&gt;4 Mn)</th>
<th>Large (1-4 Mn)</th>
<th>Medium (0.5-1 Mn)</th>
<th>Small (&lt;0.5 Mn)</th>
<th>All India</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City sanitation plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City resilience plan</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive mobility plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage conservation plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social development plan</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our analysis finds that no state in India provides an effective system to prevent the approval of projects that are not in conformity with the SDPs. Additionally, there are no robust provisions by law to monitor ongoing projects for possible violations. States also have very weak provisions to penalise plan violations. TCP Acts of 23 out of 35 states/UTs have provided for penalisation for only one kind of violation i.e. obstruction of work/entry of contractors. Only four states - Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Maharashtra and Telangana have provisions to penalise for a substantive violation such as a change in building use.

India’s cities need stronger provisions to enforce SDPs and deter plan violations.

Our analysis finds that no state in India provides an effective system to prevent the approval of projects that are not in conformity with the SDPs. Additionally, there are no robust provisions by law to monitor ongoing projects for possible violations. States also have very weak provisions to penalise plan violations. TCP Acts of 23 out of 35 states/UTs have provided for penalisation for only one kind of violation i.e. obstruction of work/entry of contractors. Only four states - Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Maharashtra and Telangana have provisions to penalise for a substantive violation such as a change in building use.

Figure 10: Only 9/35 capital cities have sectoral plans for all key sectors.

Source: SDPs/sectoral plans available on the websites of ULBs/urban development authorities/TCP dept. of respective states as of 30th March 2023.
A vibrant SDP needs to be the product of a carefully structured process of discussion to outline a collective vision for the city. However, there is an absence of formal platforms for citizen participation in planning for our cities.

Did you know?

Kerala’s people’s plan, while applicable specifically to socio-economic planning has interesting learnings that can be applied to structured participation in the planning process. The decentralised planning campaign in Kerala called the People’s Plan Campaign (PPC) launched in 1996 was a pioneering movement towards decentralised planning and governance at the local level. The multi-stage decentralised plan formulation process includes consultation with stakeholders and citizens at multiple stages including identification of needs, formation of scheme, its implementation, monitoring and evaluation. For example, in the third stage of need identification, the stakeholders include women SHGs, anganwadi workers, headmasters of schools, environmentalists, etc. Consultations are also held at ward sabhas taking inputs on key development issues and listing out development priorities through a semi-structured questionnaire.

---

Urban planning is a municipal function that is mandated to be devolved to city governments by the Constitution. Our analysis across 35 states/UTs finds that Kerala is the only state to specifically recognise the role of city governments in the planning process in its planning legislation. Our analysis of the CAG audit reports on the implementation of the 74th CAA of 13 states shows that in practice, none of the states have wholly devolved the function of urban planning to city governments. Development authorities play a lead role in planning, and the role of city governments is minimal. Mumbai, however, is an exception with the mayor, leader of the house and opposition as well as standing committee chairpersons serving in the planning committee thus representing the interests of the city and citizens.

It may however be noted that strong planning PIE also hinges on capacities (human resources). According to the report of NITI Aayog on reforms in urban planning capacity in India (2021), not even one planner is available per city or town in state town and country planning departments, with only 3,945 sanctioned posts of town planners, of which 42 per cent are lying vacant.\(^\text{10}\)

> “We, of course, don’t think we are adequately empowered in planning for our city. The council should be the one leading the planning exercise. We only work on implementation and are consulted but I wish we played a bigger role in planning.”

**Nilofer Achrekar**  
Former Mayor  
Kolhapur

---

\(^{10}\) Advisory committee for reforms in urban planning capacity in India. (2021). Reforms in urban planning capacity in India. NITI Aayog. https://www.niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2021-09/UrbanPlanningCapacity-in-India-16092021.pdf
Initiatives by the Government of India

There is growing recognition in the Government of India of the importance of urban planning and the need to institutionalise reforms. In October 2020, an advisory committee was constituted by NITI Aayog to identify reforms to improve urban planning capacity in India. The committee released a report in September 2021 titled ‘Reforms in Urban Planning Capacity in India’. The report recommends implementing a five-year centrally sponsored scheme called, ‘500 healthy cities programme’. The main components of the scheme include the preparation of spatial multi-sectoral vision plans by states and constitution and functionalisation of the metropolitan planning committees and district planning committees. The committee also calls for restructuring of urban government institutions by empowering mayors and standing committees for effective urban planning, recruiting urban planners to advise mayors and commissioning a High-Powered Committee to evaluate the structure of urban governance in India.

Another committee whose purpose is to offer recommendations on urban policy, capacity building, planning, implementation and governance was introduced by Nirmala Sitharaman, Finance Minister in her budget speech 2022-23. Following its constitution, the High Level Committee submitted its first report in 2023 to MoHUA, titled ‘Pathways to Amrit Kaal: Envisioning and realising a new future for Indian Cities’, addressing the issues caused by rapid urbanisation. The key recommendations of the report include setting up of a National Urban Regional Planning Authority (NURPA) to be led by the Prime Minister – an apex advisory body to all ministries and departments and agencies on urban planning and development. The report also recommends the union government to allocate resources to states over a period of five years to hire 2,000 urban planners at junior levels, 850 mid-level urban planners and 350 multi-disciplinary experts to build capacity for urban planning.
How do we fix this?

It is imperative to overhaul state town and planning legislations with provisions for a three-tier spatial planning process comprising a regional plan for a metropolitan area, a municipal plan for the city government area, and a ward plan at the neighbourhood level, all in a nested structure with concurrent timelines. The legislation needs to clearly define the objectives and contents of SDPs at each of these three levels, and create institutions and institutional processes to implement and enforce such plans. The legislation should also incorporate a robust framework to measure the success of SDPs across levels, against predefined objectives. There is also an urgent need to substantially increase the supply of adequately skilled town planners and other sectoral planners and plan enforcement staff. All infrastructure projects need to be conceived and evaluated with reference to SDPs and allied sectoral plans.

Target outcomes for cities through SDPs

1. Long-term view of economic growth, social equity and environmental sustainability; all three aspects will go hand-in-hand

2. All infrastructure projects across mobility, networked services, housing coordinated over the long-term and located in a common spatial plan; land value captured

3. Zero violations of zoning, land-use, and building plan regulations
Model

National Urban Spatial Planning and Development Guidelines (NUSPD)

The National Urban Spatial Planning and Development Guidelines (NUSPD) focus on improving the quality of urban life through developing robust spatial development plans. By taking a middle ground between a rigid and laissez-faire structure, the guidelines replaced the prescriptive term of 'Master Plan' with 'Spatial Development Plan'. The guidelines were developed in 2014 to aid in the amendment of the Urban and Regional Development Plans Formulation and Implementation guidelines. The NUSPD guidelines have two components consisting of integrated strategic framework and physical framework.

The integrated strategic framework is based on three guiding planning principles of environment, economy and equity. Within these three principles, there are six themes with a set of rules. Instead of being prescriptive, the rules act as a base on which sectoral integration and design creativity can occur at any scale to suit the specific requirements of any city.

The physical framework defines the basic networks of transport and networked infrastructure, upon which all the principles of good planning can be supported – zoning, innovations, transit-oriented growth, density allocations, re-development, etc.


Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Union</strong></td>
<td>• Review and implement the recommendations of the Advisory Committee for Reforms in Urban Planning Capacity in India and High Level Committee on Urban Planning</td>
<td>• Review and adopt NUSPD guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
<td>• Set up a programme for small and medium cities to be equipped to evolve their spatial plans with vision and contextual needs locally or at regional/district level</td>
<td>• Amend Town and Country Planning Acts basis NUSPD guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City</strong></td>
<td>• Implement an effective system to monitor, report and penalise SDP violations</td>
<td>• Adopt a strategic approach to spatial development plans using scenarios over 5-10 years, instead of a fixed land-use plan for 20 years, to enable review and course-correct • Adopt systematic approaches to ensure public participation while planning for the city including ward committees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments of Change

2

Street and Public Space Design Standards

Best-in-class city street and public space design standards coupled with standardised contracts that transform ease of living and ease of doing business, and also solve for wasteful expenditure.

“The road is the first public space one steps out on to, and it impacts the quality of one’s life considerably – from travel time, congestion, safety, access to public transport, education and economic opportunities, to maintenance and service of utilities. This is what Tender S.U.R.E roads solve. Well-designed and well-built urban roads improve the way we move, promote walking and cycling as viable choices, and thereby positively impact public health and air quality. They also reduce travel time. Most importantly design standards such as Tender S.U.R.E fix underground utilities so that we spend once but spend right. They also ensure roads don’t get inundated every monsoon with thoughtful designs for storm water evacuation. Fixing one road is not enough, it has to be a network that connects schools, public transport, health care, and markets to homes and it has to be in every city and town, and it has to be now.”

Nithya Ramesh
Director – Urban Design
Jana Urban Space Foundation
While India has been able to solve for its highways, it has not been able to solve for city roads. This is primarily because of the absence of design and contracting standards for city roads.

City roads in India are, generally poorly designed and not pedestrian and cycle friendly. Potholes or poor-quality surfacing is common as are instances of road-cutting. City roads are not just carriageways for motor vehicles. They are corridors for movement, also for people who walk and cycle, and represent the conduit for trunk infrastructure of a city comprising storm water drains, water supply lines, sewerage lines, power lines, telecom and internet cables, piped gas, street lighting and traffic surveillance. The largest share of city government budgets is generally allocated to city roads. Owing to poor road design city roads are often cut open at frequent intervals by different agencies.

Our analysis finds that no state in India has mandated street design standards for their cities. Only five of the 35 states/UTs (Haryana, Manipur, Meghalaya, Nagaland and Rajasthan) have mandated concerned authorities to notify at least specifications for road projects. Most of such mandates pertain only to the width of roads/streets.

City roads are possibly the most critical public spaces in a city. But there are other public spaces too such as markets, parks, playgrounds, bus terminals, waterfronts, crematoria and burial grounds and cultural centres. There are similar challenges to these as with roads in respect of safety, equity and inclusion, and fully realising their economic potential. Such public spaces too presently are not covered by design standards.

Did you know?

Pune Municipal Corporation (PMC) has developed urban street design guidelines. These guidelines provide specifications to make streets universally accessible and provide standard templates for different sizes and uses of streets.

It mandates PMC engineers and planners to design and execute streets to meet local needs, avoiding a one-size-fits-all approach.

How do we fix this?

An important and urgent transformational reform is to draw up model design standards (including implementation and maintenance standards) and contracting standards for city roads (and other public spaces) that can surmount all of the above recurring challenges.

Enforceable design standards can ensure that urban utilities are provided ducts under footpaths with inspection chambers, utility networks are mapped, uniform lane width is ensured for smooth flow of traffic, and footpaths exist and are adequately wide for pedestrian use. Contracting standards will lend further robustness to design standards by ensuring material specifications, quality, maintenance, and related considerations are adequately factored in the construction of city roads. The possibility of a joint tender across city government and civic agencies operating various underground utilities also emerges as a realistic policy measure that can potentially avoid road-cutting over the medium term (given utilities will be ducted under footpaths with inspection chambers).

A pioneering PPP model on city roads (globally...
city roads have not generally been amenable to PPPs) could also be explored on the back of road design and contracting standards. A model where the private sector is involved in building city roads (providing valuable capital) and is in turn paid usage charges by utilities for usage of underground ducts over the concession period could achieve multiple objectives.

Target outcomes for cities through street and public space design standards

1. Designated safe movement corridor for all modes of travel with enhanced user experience especially on safety, walkability and non-motorised transport

2. An organised corridor for underground utilities for improved level of services
   Ducts provided for individual property connections as well as to the city network

3. Ensure financial sustainability by saving on life cycle costs

Model

Tender S.U.R.E – Designing roads in their entirety

Conceived by Jana Urban Space Foundation (JUSP) in 2011, Tender S.U.R.E provides a set of design, procurement and implementation guidelines for urban roads in India. It is a first of its kind and imagines robust, safe and comfortable movement for people and goods across all types of transport. It also aims to ensure financial sustainability by providing organised corridors for underground utilities such as water supply, sewerage, storm water drainage, etc., so that streets need not be dug up repeatedly.

The objective of Tender S.U.R.E is to make urban roads in India more walkable, more drivable, safe, cost-effective and easier to maintain. These objectives are achieved through design features such as continuous wide footpaths, standardised specifications for material and construction, and uniform travel lanes. The recommended design is such that first preference is given to pedestrians and later followed by cyclists, public transport, parking and traffic calming measures. A monitoring and evaluation study by JUSP showed that respondents expressed 63% and 54% greater ease of walkability and drivability respectively on Tender S.U.R.E roads.
Key standards

- Organised under ground utilities contained within the footpath with regular inspection chambers
- Organised over ground utilities and public amenities
- Balanced division of the right-of-way providing for all modes of transport and walkability for women and children
- Improved road safety

The Government of Karnataka in 2012-13 had adopted Tender S.U.R.E for select roads in Bengaluru. Today 60 km of Tender S.U.R.E roads have been completed in Bengaluru, making it a city with one of the most walkable city centres in the country. Outside Bengaluru, 22 km of Tender S.U.R.E roads are under construction in Hubballi-Dharwad, 12.5 km in Chennai, 1.5 km in Coimbatore, and 12 junctions in Hyderabad. Tender S.U.R.E roads and junctions are planned for in Lucknow, Gorakhpur and Ayodhya of which three intersections are under construction in Lucknow. Based on Tender S.U.R.E, Odisha has adapted an Odisha S.U.R.E model, including capacity building of engineers and completed a 65 km pilot project across 10 cities. A scale up of a 260 km footpath project across 4 cities is underway.

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>• Recommend Tender S.U.R.E or similar integrated street design guidelines as a standard for urban road projects, followed by similar guidelines for other public spaces&lt;br&gt;• Commission feasibility of multi utility corridors in cities on PPP mode through a study and pilot</td>
<td>• Allocate budgets for multi-utility corridor projects in cities including through PPPs, if found feasible&lt;br&gt;• Incentivise adoption of design guidelines for public spaces by states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Mandate street and public space design standards by law, including design, implementation and maintenance, and including on site job-aids&lt;br&gt;• Roll out professional development programmes for engineers and contractors on urban design standards&lt;br&gt;• Create a state level unit for urban design for smaller cities and city level urban design units for larger cities to support design and execution</td>
<td>• Commission Monitoring and Evaluation (M&amp;E) to measure outcomes, across safety, equity, inclusion, flooding, economy and financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>• Prepare basic list of integrated street and public space design projects, with broad scope and execute</td>
<td>• Develop a phase-wise street improvement plan, and implement integrated street and urban design projects in a contiguous format, to make visible benefits and improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments of Change

Constitutional Amendment to Empower Cities

A constitutional amendment that recognises cities as distinct units of governance will catalyse urban transformation in India.

“It is a matter of urgent importance that the Central Government takes immediate steps to improve the delivery of public services to our citizens. The best and most effective way to achieve this is through the devolution of power to democratically elected and empowered mayors and panchayat heads. If we are to build smart cities, we need to provide a smarter and more accountable form of governance in them”

Dr Shashi Tharoor
Member of Parliament
Empowered city governments would be a key force in driving local action for global challenges such as climate change, public health including water and sanitation, affordable housing and gender equity. We need to urgently review the effectiveness of 74th CAA and make it fit for purpose.

Thirty years have passed since the enactment of 74th CAA. An honest assessment of the implementation of 74th CAA would reveal a glass less than half-full.

On average, only 42% of 74th CAA has been implemented by states.

Implementation of 74th CAA in India’s capital cities

- Devolution of all key taxes\(^1\)
- Devolution of all functions as per the twelfth schedule of the constitution (in practice)
- Functional ward committees (at least in 50% of wards)
- Constitution of District Planning Committee (DPC)
- Constitution of Metropolitan Planning Committee (MPC)
- Timely constitution of State Finance Commission (SFC)
- Constitution of State Election Commission (SEC)
- Cities with elected councils
- At least 33% representation of women in city council (in practice)
- Publication of audited accounts

\(^1\) Key taxes include property, profession, advertisement and entertainment taxes.

Source: CAG reports, telephone checks with officers in city governments, state housing departments, websites of city governments, SECs and SFCs, newspaper reports, and municipal legislations.
Our analysis of CAG’s performance audit on the implementation of 74th CAA in 13 states shows that while state municipal legislations have largely complied with the provisions of 74th CAA in letter (except on functional devolution), no state municipal legislation has complied with the same in spirit.

While municipal legislations play an important role in ensuring devolution of powers to city governments (based on the principles of 74th CAA given that local governments in India are a state subject), some provisions in 74th CAA are inherently limiting. For example, many of the key provisions of 74th CAA are not binding on states as the word ‘may’ has been used instead of ‘shall’ leaving space for discretion of state governments. Article 243 W mandates the devolution of functions with a ‘may’ provision instead of ‘shall’, thereby giving states the leeway to not comply with devolution of functions as per the twelfth schedule of the Constitution. Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Manipur, Punjab, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal (Howrah) have emulated the 74th CAA and devolved all 18 functions under the twelfth schedule as a ‘may’ provision in their respective municipal legislations, instead of a ‘shall’ provision.

Similarly, Article 243 S(1) provides for the constitution of ‘wards’ committee (i.e. a single committee of citizens for multiple wards) for citizen participation. This has resulted in 10 states having ‘wards’ committee structure which actually takes away the spirit of a ward committee (one committee for one ward) structure in bringing governance closer to people. Our analysis of census 2011 data shows that the largest ward in India, which is in Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM), has a population of more than 7.60 lakhs which is bigger than 98.6 per cent of smaller cities in India. The average size of wards in Mumbai is close to 1.28 lakhs. As Mumbai’s 227 wards are divided into 16 administrative ward committees, each ward committee consists of eight to twenty electoral wards.11 Even if we assume that every ward committee consists of eight electoral wards, this would mean that a single ward committee covers close to 10.26 lakh citizens. This dilutes the intent of the ward committee platform to enable effective engagement with citizens at the neighbourhood level.

While the 74th CAA may have been appropriate for its time, it comes across as being tentative and does not factor in new urban realities and aspirations of twenty-first century India such as an empowered mayor for the city as a single point of executive authority, a metropolitan governance framework for larger cities going beyond just an MPC, devolution of contemporary functions such as climate, public health, and digital infrastructure to cities, and obviating the need and possibility of independent city parastatals.

74th CAA does not recognise ‘city governments’ as a distinct tier of government, but as a sub-tier of state governments. This is in contrast to how Constitutions of other countries recognise city governments as a distinct tier of governance, as can be seen in table 5.

---

Table 5: How do Constitutions of other countries recognise city governments?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Constitution Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Article 18, Chapter VI of the Constitution states that the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia shall be divided into provinces and those provinces shall be divided into regencies (kabupaten) and municipalities (kota), each of which shall have regional authorities which shall be regulated by law. The regional authorities of the provinces, regencies and municipalities shall administer and manage their own affairs according to the principles of regional autonomy and the duty of assistance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

How do we fix this?

The need of the hour is a Constitutional amendment that can effect a metamorphosis of ‘urban local bodies’ into ‘urban local self-governments’. The following are our recommendations to amend 74th CAA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 243 R   | 1. Amend Article 243 R (2) (a) to exclude MPs, MLAs and non-elected members of the municipal council from voting rights  
2. Amend Article 243 R (2) (b) as, “state to legislate the manner of election of the chairperson of a municipality, provided the chairperson has a tenure co-terminus with that of the council”, in order to fix the tenure of Mayor at five years |
| 243 W and 243 X | 3. Amend Article 243 W and Article 243 X by substituting, “...the legislature of a state may, by law”, with, “the legislature of a state shall, by law”  
4. Amend Article 243 W to mandate that the functions listed in the twelfth schedule which are not yet devolved by the states, to be devolved within five years of the notification of this amendment to 74th CAA  
5. Amend Article 243 W to add the following functions – climate change, gender equality, public transport and traffic management, promotion of information technology and broadband internet and primary healthcare under the twelfth schedule of the Constitution. Further, provide that twelfth schedule to be only illustrative in nature and more such functions could be devolved to municipalities by the state government |
52  |  Annual Survey of India’s City-Systems (ASICS) 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</th>
<th>Target outcomes for cities through amendment to 74th CAA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>243 S, 243 Y, 243 ZD and 243 ZE</strong></td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Add a new provision to Article 243 S, Article 243 ZD and Article 243 ZE to constitute ward committees, DPCs and MPCs respectively, within one year of notification of this amendment to the 74th CAA</td>
<td><strong>1</strong> Mayors and councils truly empowered: functionally, administratively and financially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Amend article 243 S (1) to constitute ward committees in every ward by replacing the provision to form “wards committees”. Also, provide for its constitution in the territorial area of a municipality with a population of not more than 30,000 instead of 3,00,000</td>
<td><strong>2</strong> Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>243 Y</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong> Decentralised processes for citizen participation for effective “first mile” governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Amend Article 243 Y to ensure that the action taken report on each of the recommendations with reasons thereof by the government is laid before the state legislature within six months of the submission of the SFC recommendations</td>
<td><strong>4</strong> Target outcomes for cities through amendment to 74th CAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>243 ZA (2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Amend Article 243 ZA (2) to specify that the responsibility for the conduct of elections shall include all preparatory steps for the same including the electoral roles, delimitation, reservation, rotation, and matters connected therewith and the responsibility for the same shall vest with the SEC</td>
<td><strong>1</strong> Mayors and councils truly empowered: functionally, administratively and financially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>243 T (3)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Amend Article 243 T (3) of the Constitution to exclude the position of mayor/ chairperson from rotational reservation, as this provision makes it impossible for a sitting mayor to even be eligible to run for the next election, and hence, is unable to nurture their ward and cultivate leadership</td>
<td><strong>2</strong> Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>243Q 1 (c), 243Q (2), 243V 1(a), and 243V 1(b)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Amend Article - 243Q 1 (c), 243Q (2), 243V 1(a), and 243V 1(b) to bring in gender neutral language in drafting of law</td>
<td><strong>3</strong> Decentralised processes for citizen participation for effective “first mile” governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Article 276 (2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Amend Article 276 (2) to devolve to State Governments, the power to legislate on all aspects of profession tax, including the removal of the current ceiling of INR 2,500 per annum</td>
<td><strong>Constitution of metropolitan planning committees, SFCs and SECs, ward committees</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In due course, we believe that a separate local government list in the seventh schedule of the Constitution needs to be actively explored.
### Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>• Create a high powered council between union and state governments on the lines of GST council to evolve consensus on overhaul of 74th CAA</td>
<td>• Amend the Constitution (Seventy-Fourth) Amendment Act to empower cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Amend municipal legislations according to the Constitutional Amendment, and notify rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Implement the notified rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduce metropolitan authorities for cities with a population in excess of four million.

Solutions to challenges such as climate change and jobs and economic growth crucially depend on how metropolitan regions are governed. Metropolitan cities account for 44 per cent of urban India’s population. Governance of metropolitan cities continues to be a blind spot in India’s urban discourse.

Metropolitan regions around the world including India are primary drivers of the economy. Metropolitan regions attract capital and talent, catalyse research and innovations and provide scale and productivity to firms. A report by U.N. Habitat states that governance and decentralisation significantly influence the productivity of metropolitan regions. According to an OECD study, metropolitan areas with a metropolitan governance body have a per capita GDP that is on average 7 per cent higher than that of metropolitan areas without a metropolitan governance body.

The metropolitan regions of Bengaluru, Chennai, Hyderabad, Kolkata and Mumbai already account for nearly 10 per cent of India’s GDP. By 2030, India is estimated to have 71 metropolitan cities of which seven cities will have more than 10 million population. The 53 million-plus cities (urban agglomerations) of India hosting 44% of the total population are haphazardly governed by 200+ municipalities plus several other agencies and state departments, and even panchayats. They lack a robust integrated, coordinated approach to metropolitan governance and agglomeration.

---

economies due to spatial and functional fragmentation across entities.

Several urban challenges such as air pollution, mobility networks, groundwater recharge, or even jobs and economic growth are not restricted to municipal boundaries. Addressing them needs integrated, coordinated governance both spatially and functionally.

**Figure 12:** Metropolitan Planning Committees (MPCs) as envisaged in 74th CAA are presently non-existent/dysfunctional.

14 states (i.e. those with million plus/metropolitan cities) that have notified rules for the constitution of an MPC

...However, the CAG’s audit reports on the implementation of the 74th CAA in 13 states show that in practice only

three states have constituted MPCs with none functional.

Moreover, of the 14 states that have notified rules for formation of MPCs,

only seven states provide for the mayor to be an ex-officio member of the MPC, thus undermining the elected city council.
In any case, the MPC as conceived by the 74th CAA is only an advisory planning institution and not a metropolitan governance institution. It’s role is limited to the preparation of a ‘draft development plan’ for the metropolitan area and forward the same to a state government and does not have any executive powers. As observed by the Kasturi Rangan committee report in 2008, MPCs also do not have the authority to review and suggest changes or overrule municipal plans on issues of regional significance. There is an urgent need to reimagine the roles and powers of MPCs to achieve the goal of integrated metropolitan planning and governance.

Further, globally, metropolitan cities are helmed by a directly-elected empowered mayor. Evolved examples include the Tokyo Metropolitan Government, Greater London Authority, and recent experiments with combined authorities in other cities in the United Kingdom. India needs home-grown solutions suited to its context and that are informed by lessons on institutional design from global models.

**Initiatives by the Government of India**

In a first of its kind, the XV Finance Commission adopted a nuanced approach of distinguishing between million-plus urban agglomerations, and other cities, acknowledging the spatial pattern of India’s urbanisation. It provided for 100 per cent outcome-based funding of approximately INR 38,196 crore to 50 million-plus urban agglomerations (excluding UTs) with specific emphasis on air quality, water supply and sanitation and basic grants to the rest of the cities, with 50 per cent of the end-use tied to water supply and sanitation. For the first time, there is also an acknowledgment of the metropolitan area as a unified space for action to solve complex challenges of air quality, water and sanitation, with emphasis on inter-agency coordination.

---

How do we fix this?

When 74th CAA was introduced, only Mumbai, Delhi and Kolkata had million-plus population. India already has eight cities which have grown to over four million population. The Constitutional provision for metropolitan governance with MPC only being a planning body is not adequate to solve the metropolitan challenges of the 21st century. We need a distinct paradigm for metropolitan governance.

Target outcomes for cities through metropolitan governance

1. For 4 million-plus cities
   A metropolitan authority to serve as the integration, coordination and planning agency and to effectively leverage agglomeration economies

2. For million-plus cities
   Mayor and council empowered over funds, functions and functionaries and having civic agencies accountable to the mayor/council
   MPCs fully functional with due representation of mayor and council

“We want to devolve and decentralise more power to local communities, providing an opportunity for all places to level up. Mayoral devolution has strengthened local leadership and institutions, devolving key powers over transport, planning, skills and funding away from Whitehall so that they are exercised at the right level to make a difference for local communities.”

Luke Hall
Minister of State for Regional Growth and Local Government (2020-2021)
United Kingdom
A Combined Authority (CA) is a legal body set up using national legislation that enables a group of two or more city councils to collaborate and make collective decisions across council boundaries. While established by the UK Parliament, CAs are locally governed and have to be initiated and supported by the councils involved.

CAs have general powers of competence and facilitate the devolution of decision-making powers from government control to individuals, communities and local authorities. CAs can have directly elected metropolitan mayors and councillors appointed by the councils of the local governments. Currently, 9 out of 10 CAs in England have directly elected metro mayors.

CAs are required to enter into a devolution deal or city deal with the federal government in the UK. A city deal is an agreement between the federal government and a city that gives the city and its surrounding areas certain powers and freedoms to take responsibility for decisions that affect their area, do what they think is best to help businesses grow, and decide how public money should be spent. Through the deal, the government devolves services such as transport, housing, skills and economic regeneration to the CAs. For example, the Greater Manchester Combined Authority which has the greatest degree of devolution outside of London recently signed a £97 million package to make social housing more energy efficient benefitting over 5,000 homes.

In the city-regions of England, apart from CAs, there are Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) in every region which are non-statutory bodies responsible for local economic development in England. LEPs are a network of business-led partnerships that link the private sector, local authorities, academic institutions and non-profit organisations. Currently, there are overlaps between the role of CAs and LEPs. However, the government is expected to integrate LEPs with CAs by April 2024.

“This is the seventh devolution deal Greater Manchester has agreed with the government and it is by some way the deepest. This Deal takes devolution in the city-region further and faster than ever before, giving us more ability to improve the lives of people who live and work here. With more power comes the need for great accountability and I welcome the strengthened arrangements announced in the Deal.”

Andy Burnham  
Mayor  
Greater Manchester Combined Authority  
United Kingdom

Greater Manchester CA securing the seventh devolution deal (the first devolution deal was in 2017) with the Government to create UK’s first integrated technical education city-region (March 2023)  
## Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Union</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lead the effort on a metropolitan governance paradigm, evolve consensus with state governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Union" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
<td>• Constitute an empowered MPC with adequate representation of elected representatives from city governments</td>
<td>• Create a metropolitan authority in four million-plus cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="State" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="City" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Empowered Mayors and Councils

Model municipal acts and modern city councils will provide much-needed legislative backing and infrastructure support for councils and instill trust and credibility among citizens.

“Empowering mayors and city councils in India is not just about strengthening local governance; it’s about unleashing the potential of our cities and towns. Mayors and councils play a pivotal role in driving urban development, creating sustainable solutions, and ensuring inclusive growth. When they are empowered with the funds, functions and functionaries, they can innovate, collaborate, and lead their communities towards a brighter future. By investing in mayors and city councils, we are investing in our cities, and thereby the progress and prosperity of our nation.”

Sudheer Shetty Kannur
Mayor
Mangaluru
Mayors and councillors, the elected leaders of our cities, are the bridge between citizens and the government at the “first mile”.

Mayors and councillors play an important role in solving service delivery issues at the “first-mile”. A study showed that between 2018 -2019, 74% and 64% of people in seven cities reached out to their councillors for sanitation and water supply related concerns respectively instead of the civic agency responsible for such service delivery. Councillors also play a role in ensuring services to vulnerable populations. For example, local elected representatives play a crucial role in supporting urban primary health centres in conducting health camps and improving sanitation services for the urban poor. However, mayors and councillors in India remain disempowered, due to highly restricted devolution of functions and powers over funds and functionaries, short tenures in office and a policy of rotational reservations mandated by 74th CAA according to which reserved seats are allotted by rotation to different wards in the city. This has resulted in our city governments being practically reduced to one other civic service delivery agency rather than a city government or a local self-government.

---

Thrissur Municipal Corporation in Kerala undertakes distribution of electricity via the Thrissur Corporation Electricity Department (TCED). The TCED prepares its own budget, which is presented to the Council for its approval and requires the assent of the Mayor.

The role of an elected mayor with a five-year term is significant in delivering good quality of life in cities.

One of the main arguments for a directly-elected mayoral system is that a direct mandate from citizens adds to the legitimacy and accountability of the mayor’s office. Further, while councillors contest for ward/hyper-local issues, a directly elected mayor would focus on the entire city. Our analysis finds that only 23 per cent of states provide for directly elected mayors in cities, in contrast to 73 per cent of states that provide for directly-elected presidents in panchayats. Our interviews with the mayors of the states of Maharashtra and Gujarat, which provide for indirect election for its mayors, show that there is preference for direct mode of election. “People directly electing their mayor would ensure better transparency and give larger deciding power in the hands of the people.” says Nilofer Achrekar, Former Mayor of Kolhapur.

It is, however, important to note that a direct mode of election for mayors on its own is not sufficient for effective decentralised governance, without commensurate powers and resources.

Several states do not hold elections for city councils on a timely basis. Our analysis as of 16 September 2021 finds that over 1,400 cities in India including Bengaluru, Mumbai, and Guwahati did not have mayors or elected councils, some for even up to 9 years.

A tenure of less than five years makes the position of the mayor largely ceremonial and inconsequential. Our analysis shows 17 per cent of cities have a mayoral tenure of less than five years, in contrast to 100 per cent of panchayat presidents having a tenure co-terminus with that of the panchayat. The mayors of Arunachal Pradesh (municipal corporations), Karnataka, Jammu Kashmir (municipal corporations), Ladakh, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh (only municipal corporations) and Maharashtra change every 2.5 years and mayors in Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Chandigarh, Delhi, Panaji and Karnataka (municipal corporations) change every year. A one-year term for the mayor is simply absurd.

Mayors of mega cities are significantly more disempowered in terms of the mode of election and tenure. Only three (Chennai, Hyderabad and Kolkata) out of eight mega cities provide for a mayoral tenure of five years and none of the cities directly elect their mayors. A directly elected mayor with a five-year term is not a panacea for all ills but it certainly endows the position of mayor and the city government with greater credibility, stability and institutional continuity necessary for a strategic perspective.

The provision for reservation by rotation based on which a sitting mayor cannot run for re-election, makes it an unattractive proposition for dynamic, effective political leaders who have a vision and will to deliver transformative governance in cities.
Mayors and councils have limited or no powers over spatial planning, housing, select large infrastructure development in the city, water supply and sewerage in many cases, public transport (bus and metro) in most cases, traffic management, climate change, gender equality and diversity and inclusion, child care and elder care, and primary healthcare and primary education in many cases. In contrast, several global cities are empowered to perform crucial functions to ensure service delivery at the first mile.

For example, the municipal legislations of New York, London and Johannesburg empower the city government to undertake city planning (including socio-economic and environmental planning), and provide services such as public transport, law and order, traffic management, water supply, solid waste management and fire and emergency services. Mexico’s constitution empowers its cities to provide for public security which includes law and order as well as traffic management.
Across 82 municipal legislations of 35 states/UTs, on average, only nine functions out of the 18 listed in the twelfth schedule of the Constitution have been devolved by states to their city governments.

Note: Provision on devolution of functions which is discretionary / with ‘may’ provision has not been considered as devolved.

Core functions such as urban planning, regulation of land use, fire services, safeguarding the interests of the weaker section of society, and slum improvement and upgradation, are typically not devolved.

The devolution in practice as against by law presents a bleaker picture. Our analysis of CAG’s performance audit reports on the implementation of 74th CAA in 13 states finds that in practice, on average, city governments have complete jurisdiction only over five municipal functions. These functions do not include core functions such as urban planning and public health. The principle of subsidiarity states that a central authority should have a subsidiary function, performing only those tasks that cannot be performed at a more local level. City governments are the closest to understanding the problems and needs of their citizens, and are, therefore, best placed to handle all 18 functions in schedule XII of the Constitution.
The success of city governments depends not just on functions devolved to them but also on powers over both financial and human resources that enable them to discharge their functions. Our city governments, mayors and councils by extension have limited powers over finances and staff.

City governments have very limited and highly restricted powers over raising their own revenues, spending monies, land and property, and borrowings and investments. Significant control vests with state governments in most cases (discussed in greater detail in ‘instrument of change 7’).

- Only one state empowers city governments over all key taxes (Assam)
- Only five states empower city governments across all categories to raise borrowings without prior state approval (Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, Meghalaya and Rajasthan)
- Only eight states empower city governments across all categories to not require state government approval on city budgets passed by the council (Delhi, Gujarat, Kerala, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Telangana, Tripura and West Bengal)
- Only 12 states empower city governments across all categories to invest their funds without prior approval of the state (Assam, Bihar, Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu, Delhi, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Ladakh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Sikkim, Tripura)

In contrast, cities such as New York and Johannesburg are empowered to impose taxes, approve their own budget, invest and borrow without prior sanction.

Mayors and councils in India also have virtually no powers over their staff (appointments, transfers, promotions and disciplinary proceedings), particularly over their senior management teams, most of whom are deputed from state governments. Only cities in Assam (municipalities) and Tripura are empowered by law to appoint municipal staff. Only cities in Kerala, Maharashtra (municipal corporations excluding Mumbai), Manipur and West Bengal (Kolkata) are empowered to initiate disciplinary action against staff. Additionally, cities in Assam (municipalities), Kerala, Maharashtra (municipal corporations excluding Mumbai), Manipur, Uttar Pradesh (municipalities), Uttarakhand (Municipalities) and Kolkata can terminate services of their staff. Further, no state has empowered city governments to appoint municipal commissioners, if not in absolute terms at least in consultation with the council, except in Tripura, Bihar, Karnataka (Municipal Corporations), Kerala, Manipur, Meghalaya, Uttar Pradesh (Municipalities), and West Bengal (Municipal Corporations).

The absence of powers over municipal staff inhibits the ability of the city council to build a strong organisation and exact accountability for performance from them. As opposed to the Indian scenario, global cities such as New York, London and Johannesburg are empowered over municipal staff. For example, according to the Greater London Authority Act, 1999, the mayor and assembly of GLA are required to make appointments jointly to statutory offices such as chief finance officer, monitoring officer, etc. They are also empowered to decide the terms and conditions for employment of such statutory officers.
There exists a huge asymmetry of mayor/council powers across various city categories.

Our analysis reveals an interesting asymmetry between powers and authorities of the mayor and council across city categories. While mega cities perform relatively better in terms of powers over finances, mayors of those cities lack a five-year term and are indirectly elected. While smaller cities perform relatively well in mayoral tenure and mode of election, only 11 per cent of such cities can approve their own city budget.

### Table 7: Asymmetry between mayoral powers across city categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mega Cities &gt;4 Mn</th>
<th>Large Cities 1-4 Mn</th>
<th>Medium Cities 500 k-1 Mn</th>
<th>Small Cities &lt;500 k</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population in cities(^{19}) (in millions)</td>
<td>57.84</td>
<td>57.88</td>
<td>28.93</td>
<td>173.89</td>
<td>318.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of cities with a five-year mayoral tenure</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of cities with a directly elected Mayor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities with the mandate for induction and periodic training programmes for its mayors and councils</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities that can approve the city budget</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities that can borrow without the prior sanction of the state</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities that can invest without the prior sanction of the state</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities that have complete power over its staff</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% cities that can levy all key taxes(^{\ast})</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average no. of functions devolved by law</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\ast}\) Key taxes include property, advertisement, entertainment and profession tax

\(^{19}\) Based on Census 2011
In 2014, JnNURM mandated states to establish separate cadres for municipal administration and recruit them in city government as a criteria to receive urban development funds. In the municipal cadres, the states are obligated to appoint officers in the fields of solid and waste water management, finance, municipal administration, urban transport and planning and finance, and post them only to city governments. In 2015, AMRUT also followed suit by including the ‘constitution and professionalisation of municipal cadre’ as part of its 11 reforms. This reform comprises of four milestones over set timelines, such as establishment of municipal cadre, cadre linked training, policy for engagement of interns in city governments and state policy for estimating the accurate number of functionaries of each city government through different criteria. As of 2019, 365 city governments under AMRUT mission across 21 states have their own municipal cadres.

Mayors and councillors do not have access to a leadership programme that equips them to deliver on their responsibilities. This becomes extremely critical given that there are several first-timers every term of the council, including women who contest from reserved seats, probably with no prior political experience. Mayors and councillors lack a robust secretariat system (unlike Parliament or State Assemblies) or research support and are compensated inadequately.

Lack of training is further exacerbated by the fact that they do not have access to a robust secretariat system (like Parliament or State Assemblies) or research support. 64 per cent (N=46) of the councillors interviewed in the ‘City Leaders’ study said that they would value assistance in preparation for the council meetings.

“I am not that comfortable in googling things. If I get some extra assistance with this then I might be very well prepared for the council meetings.”

Former woman councillor*
Guwahati
*Requested anonymity

---

The municipal elections in India on average garner only 55 per cent of voter turnout which makes it lower than state (68 per cent) and national (65 per cent) voting averages. As can be seen in table 8, while only 39 per cent voters voted in Lucknow’s municipal elections, the parliamentary and assembly elections garnered 59 per cent voters. Raipur is the only city that has a higher voter turnout in municipal elections (70 per cent) compared to state (61 per cent) and parliamentary elections (66 per cent).

A councillor on average receives a monthly remuneration of INR 9,643 and an MLA who receives INR 1,20,794 compared to an MP who receives INR 2,30,000.

Sound council infrastructure through a robust secretariat, digitalisation (publication of council agendas and minutes, and live streaming of council proceedings), and better compensation for councillors can play a significant role in strengthening India’s city councils.

**Disempowered city councils appear to impact voter turnout in municipal elections.**

The municipal elections in India on average garner only 55 per cent of voter turnout which makes it lower than state (68 per cent) and national (65 per cent) voting averages. As can be seen in table 8, while only 39 per cent voters voted in Lucknow’s municipal elections, the parliamentary and assembly elections garnered 59 per cent voters. Raipur is the only city that has a higher voter turnout in municipal elections (70 per cent) compared to state (61 per cent) and parliamentary elections (66 per cent).

**Table 8: Voter turnout in parliamentary, assembly and municipal elections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Voter turnout in Parliamentary elections (%)</th>
<th>Voter turnout in assembly elections (%)</th>
<th>Voter turnout in city elections (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andaman and Nicobar Islands</td>
<td>Port Blair</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>No assembly seat</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>Amaravati</td>
<td>No ULB</td>
<td>No ULB</td>
<td>No ULB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>Itanagar</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>Guwahati</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>Patna</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>Raipur</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl. No</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Voter turnout in Parliamentary elections (%)</td>
<td>Voter turnout in assembly elections (%)</td>
<td>Voter turnout in city elections (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu</td>
<td>Daman</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>No assembly seat</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>Panaji</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>Gandhinagar</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>Shimla</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jammu Kashmir</td>
<td>Srinagar &amp; Jammu</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>Srinagar - 1.8% Jammu - NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>Ranchi</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>Bengaluru</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>Thiruvananthapuram</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ladakh</td>
<td>Leh &amp; Kargil</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Leh - 54% Kargil - 78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>Bhopal</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>Mumbai</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>Imphal</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Meghalaya*</td>
<td>Shillong</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>No elections held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>Aizawl</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>Kohima</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Odisha</td>
<td>Bhubaneswar</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Jaipur</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>Gangtok</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>Chennai</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>Agartala</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>Lucknow</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>Dehradun</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>Kolkata</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Based on delimitation documents made available on Election Commission of India website and newspaper reports. Data as of 13th February 2023, for recent elections
To resurrect city governments as credible institutions, notwithstanding the past attempts to draw up a model municipal law as part of JnNURM, it is imperative to have a new and improved ‘Model Municipal Act’ that incorporates contemporaneous provisions that focus on strengthening mayors and councils. Further, other aspects of the functioning of city councils such as their business rules, facilities and infrastructure, transparency and disclosures in respect of meetings, resourcing made available to councillors to discharge their duties etc. merit reforms.

Target outcomes for cities through empowered mayors and councils

1. Functions under the twelfth schedule of the Constitution, own revenue streams, and powers and authorities over personnel to be devolved to councils

2. Parastatals to be accountable to mayor and council

3. Sound council infrastructure through a robust secretariat, digitisation (publication of council agendas and minutes, and live streaming of council proceedings), research support ecosystem and appropriate compensation for councillors

4. A national-level platform (digital and offline) for Mayors and city councils for systematic training, peer learning and leadership development

How do we fix this?
Models

The Greater London Authority

The Greater London Authority consists of the London Assembly of 25 elected councillors and the London Mayor’s office. The general powers of the GLA were outlined in the Greater London Authority Act, 1999, and are exercised by the mayor of London.

The mayor of London performs several crucial functions. The Act has empowered the mayor with the power to spatially plan the city instead of a local development authority. Additionally, the mayor is empowered to appoint senior officers of functional bodies such as Transport for London (TfL), London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority who shall serve at the pleasure of the mayor. The mayor may also sit as chair of TfL and the Mayor’s Office for Policing and Crimes and exercise power of direction over such bodies. Another function consists of setting the annual budget amounting to nearly £12 billion. The mayor of London also negotiates with the UK federal government to avail funds for London’s development. The mayor is empowered to produce a housing strategy and waste management strategy to combat inequalities and climate change.

While the mayor is empowered with several crucial functions, checks and balances have been put in place to hold the mayor accountable as the mayor is mandated to publicise his/her strategy, publish annual reports, hold public debates, etc. In order to perform his/her functions, the Mayor is aided by a team of Deputy Mayors, chief of staff and Mayoral directors and advisers, the members of the London Assembly are aided by a party political staff and a secretariat. The Secretariat consists of a:

- Scrutiny team to aid with policy research and development,
- Committee services team to help with the administration and governance of this work, and
- External Relations team to make the public aware of the work done by the Assembly member

Additionally, the City Intelligence team which works at City Hall (GLA building) aids the London Assembly and the Mayor with evidence and analysis to enable strategy and policy formulation. The key areas the team looks into are economy, labour markets and population. They rely on the Datastore, an open data-sharing portal open to the residents of London.

Following an open policy approach, the meetings conducted by the London Assembly and the Mayor are webcasted and archived in the GLA website. Under the section titled ‘Media Centre’ in the home page, the Mayor and Assembly’s press releases, press contacts and the meeting webcasts are easily accessible.

The Mayor’s Question Time, which are meetings in which different committees of the London Assembly hold the Mayor accountable for his policies and strategies, are live-streamed and available on YouTube.
Bloomberg Harvard City Leadership Initiative

The Bloomberg Harvard City Leadership initiative aims at equipping Mayors and senior city officials to improve the quality of life of their residents by tackling complex challenges in their cities. The initiative was launched in 2017 and has worked with 465 Mayors and 2271 senior city officials in 524 cities across the world. Every year 40 Mayors and 80 senior leaders engage in intensive leadership and management programmes.

The programmes, including in-person and virtual sessions, are taught by the faculty of Harvard Business and Harvard Kennedy schools. The mode of learning is through discussion seminars, case studies, activities and field visits. These programmes include –

1. Flagship Mayors programme
2. Programme for New Mayors
3. Negotiation for City Leaders
4. City Procurement Reform
5. Civic Engagement

It is interesting to note that the participation of Harvard students is instrumental to the success of the initiative. Since 2017, more than 200 students have participated in summer fellowships helping city leaders with a range of organisational challenges and supporting faculty. The initiative believes that by engaging students in research and fieldwork, they are investing in the future generations. The initiative also advances the field of city leadership through research and new curricular materials.

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>• Evolve comprehensive guidelines by city size and fund creation of a modern city council in terms of secretariat and physical and digital infrastructure • Draw up a model municipal act that empowers mayors and councils and evolve consensus with states</td>
<td>• Incentivise states that empower mayors and councils with higher funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Allocate funds for upgradation of council infrastructure and councillor pay</td>
<td>• Overhaul the municipal legislations in line with the model municipal act • Institute leadership development programme for mayors and councillors in state ATIs or equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>• Create a national-level platform for mayors and city councils to strengthen peer learning • Publish agenda, minutes, attendance of council meetings, and publish documents on websites and newspapers • Encourage voting in municipal elections through dedicated campaigns • Telecast council proceedings • Encourage school and college students to attend at least one meeting a year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments of Change

Open Cities and Participatory Budgeting

Open cities framework to be a technology-driven, radically transparent, data and metrics-oriented interface that connects citizens and governments real-time in cities. Participatory budgeting to facilitate targeted, hyperlocal focus on budgeting and problem solving.

“BBMP has keenly ensured citizen participation in its budgeting process for the last many years. I am pleased to note that Janaagraha and the Bengaluru Ward Samiti Balaga have assisted BBMP in successfully completing the 7th edition of the annual My City My Budget campaign, reaching out to hundreds of resident welfare associations and communities across the 243 wards of the city. We not only intend to take forward the initiative of allocating funds to each ward to address the issues raised by citizens through the MyCityMyBudget campaign, but also look forward to citizens prioritising and assisting BBMP in implementing the works identified successfully through the Ward Committees, thus moving another step towards institutionalisation of community participation in budgeting and development of the city. On the whole, this will add another dimension to position Bengaluru among the most livable cities of India.”

Tushar Giri Nath IAS
Chief Commissioner
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike
There is a “First-Mile Governance” problem, in the absence of area sabhas (at a polling booth level, like gram sabhas in village panchayats) and ward committees.

As was evident during Covid-19 pandemic, citizens require “first-mile” governance and service delivery touchpoints on their streets, in their neighbourhood, and in their wards, for a variety of critical services. In fact, different models of decentralised participatory platforms came alive during the fight against the pandemic. Mumbai’s ward war rooms, Bengaluru’s zonal level triage centres, Surat’s decentralised disease surveillance system, Kerala’s cities’ community engagement campaigns and ward level monitoring committees, Visakhapatnam’s ward secretariat, and Guwahati’s rejuvenation of nagrik committees for driving Covid response are some such interesting models.

Even for governments, engaging citizens closely at the neighbourhood level is essential not just for emergency response or disaster management, but also for benefits of government schemes to reach target citizens and for social and behaviour change (public health, sanitation water conservation, consumption choices related to climate change etc.).

“A newly formed ward committee in JC Nagara ward was able to question where the SWM CCTV cameras are installed within the ward when the budget has been shown as spent. In a matter of four days, 29 cameras were installed” says Vidya Goggi, ward committee representative of JC Nagara ward in Bengaluru.

Despite the utilities of decentralised participatory platforms, state municipal acts have not provided for or states have not implemented neighbourhood platforms for citizen participation such as area sabhas and ward committees. Only five out of 35 states/UTs, namely Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Mizoram and Tamil Nadu have enacted Community Participation Law (CPL) mandating the formation of both ward committees and area sabhas, and have notified corresponding rules across all city government categories.

Our analysis finds that only 22 per cent of cities in India have active ward committees. This analysis done across 16 states which have notified rules for ward committees shows that as of January 2023, only eight states have active ward/local committees. While Arunachal Pradesh, Gujarat, Mizoram, Tamil Nadu and Telangana reported that all the ward/local committees in their cities are active, other states such as Assam, Kerala and Madhya Pradesh reported that at least 50 per cent of ward committees are active in 50 per cent of cities. However, ground truthing would be required to ascertain effectiveness given the hitherto lacklustre progress on ward committees.

"An effective ward committee with Councillor as chairman and resourceful, well educated, informed ward members from all walks of life is the heart of a well-managed ward. A proper communication channel like a ward website where all relevant information is available with work done, going on and proposed with budget allocation and spends will serve as a ready reckoner information channel for ward citizens."

Valli Srinivasan
Ward committee member, Doddakannelli Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike

---

21 The Community Participation Law/Model Nagar Raj Act, which was introduced under JnNURM, aims at augmenting community participation by envisioning a three-tier model of decentralisation starting with the city government followed by ward committees and Area Sabhas.

22 This analysis is based on information sourced through telephonic calls with the officials of housing and urban development departments of respective states.
Bengaluru has ward committees since 2010, and it runs even in the absence of an elected city council. In 2022-23, over 2,000 monthly ward committee meetings were conducted across 243 wards, with the nodal officers appointed by BBMP chairing the ward committee meetings. Realising the importance of ward committees during the fight against the pandemic, BBMP officials have been enabling the running of ward committees.

Did you know?

A 2015 study conducted across 20 cities in the world found that participatory budgeting contributes significantly to improving basic service provision and management, with projects that are usually cheaper and better maintained because of community control and oversight.23 Another study found that as citizens tend to prioritise basic services like health and sanitation in participatory budgeting, from 1990-2004, municipalities in Brazil saw a reduction in infant mortality rates.24 Participatory budgeting can address inefficiencies arising from misplaced prioritisation of civic works relative to citizen needs. It can ensure women, children, differently abled, and other interest groups are able to make a case for their aspirations and have them fulfilled in the neighbourhood/city. This would foster far greater ownership in communities for civic assets and amenities, thereby resulting in better maintenance and upkeep. At the local level, it is a win-win for communities, councillors and the city administration.

At present, only three states in India (Jammu & Kashmir, Ladakh, and Karnataka) have mandated public consultation as part of the city budgeting process. However, it is worthwhile to note that, despite the absence of a legislative mandate, cities like Pune, Bengaluru and Thiruvananthapuram undertake participatory budgeting.

City budgets have a huge influence on the quality of life in our cities. Greater degrees of citizen engagement is critical in ensuring city budgets become instruments of change at the street/neighbourhood and ward level.

A 2015 study conducted across 20 cities in the world found that participatory budgeting contributes significantly to improving basic service provision and management, with projects that are usually cheaper and better maintained because of community control and oversight.23 Another study found that as citizens tend to prioritise basic services like health and sanitation in participatory budgeting, from 1990-2004, municipalities in Brazil saw a reduction in infant mortality rates.24 Participatory budgeting can address inefficiencies arising from misplaced prioritisation of civic works relative to citizen needs. It can ensure women, children, differently abled, and other interest groups are able to make a case for their aspirations and have them fulfilled in the neighbourhood/city. This would foster far greater ownership in communities for civic assets and amenities, thereby resulting in better maintenance and upkeep. At the local level, it is a win-win for communities, councillors and the city administration.

At present, only three states in India (Jammu & Kashmir, Ladakh, and Karnataka) have mandated public consultation as part of the city budgeting process. However, it is worthwhile to note that, despite the absence of a legislative mandate, cities like Pune, Bengaluru and Thiruvananthapuram undertake participatory budgeting.

Low levels of transparency in cities’ financial and operational information hinder constructive engagement of citizens, civil society and media.

The absence of formal platforms for citizen participation and participatory processes is further exacerbated by the weak transparency in India’s cities. Our analysis shows that only 11 out of 35 states/UTs in India have enacted the Public Disclosure Law (PDL)25 across all city government categories, mandating the disclosure of key civic data, and have notified rules for the same. Moreover, only nine of these 11 states (Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal, Jharkhand, Jammu & Kashmir, Ladakh, and Puducherry) have mandated disclosures in compliance with the model PDL.

Further, the accessibility of such data is improved when it is actionable, i.e., when it is available in open data format. Open data formats allow civil society

---

25 The Model Municipality Public Disclosure Act, which was introduced under JnNURM, aims at ensuring transparency and accountability in the working of the municipalities. It mandates disclosure of information such as particulars of municipality, audited financial statements, service level benchmarks, and so on.
organisations, media and active citizens to aggregate and analyse information easily and hold city governments accountable. Only 11 out of 35 states - Assam, Jharkhand, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Punjab, Sikkim, Telangana, and Tamil Nadu have adopted an open data policy which is also applicable to city governments. The first state to introduce such a policy was Maharashtra in 2011. However, no states specifically mandate city governments to disclose basic civic data in open data format. Moreover, the availability of such data in open data format remains a challenge. Our analysis across the 35 capital cities found that the only data available in open data format was civic works data of Ranchi, Patna, Jammu and Thiruvananthapuram.

**Did you know?**

*London Data Store* is a free and open data-sharing portal allowing citizens, businesses, researchers or developers access data about the city. It houses data on jobs and economy, transport, environment, community safety, housing, communities, health etc.
A robust independent internal audit function is an essential ingredient of good governance

A robust, independent internal audit function ensures well-designed and functioning internal controls and operations risk management processes, which in turn are important to combat corruption and mis/underutilisation of assets. Our analysis finds that only three states/UTs (Jammu & Kashmir, Ladakh and Karnataka excluding Bengaluru) have explicitly mandated city governments to publish internal audits. However, no cities in these states publish internal audit reports regularly. Interestingly, our analysis across capital cities shows that Bhubaneswar, New Delhi, Panaji and Ranchi publish internal audit reports even in the absence of an explicit mandate by law.

Similarly, our analysis finds that mandates for citizen charters are missing in all states except Kerala, Maharashtra and West Bengal (municipalities).

However, these three states mandate only timelines of service delivery in the citizens’ charter and do not mandate target levels of services or protocols for obtaining relief when service levels are unmet. Likewise, an ombudsman, specifically for resolving municipal service-related issues, is missing in all but nine states/UTs - Delhi, Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Jharkhand, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala, Ladakh, Manipur, Mizoram, and Odisha.

The disconnect that citizens experience in their ward or neighbourhood with respect to government decisions along with low levels of transparency in finances and operations has resulted in low levels of trust and engagement between citizens and governments in cities.

How do we fix this?

The combination of easy-to-use web technologies, high mobile phone penetration (including smartphones) and cheaper access to mobile internet has the potential to radically change the nature and extent of citizen participation in cities. It is, therefore, timely to conceive an ‘Open Cities’ framework that leverages easy-to-use web technologies and user interfaces, spatial mapping and analytics tools, hyperlocal civic data and metrics and participatory budgeting processes to move our cities to a significantly higher trajectory of citizen participation and transparency.

Such a framework would build on the existing foundation, yet provide a contemporary 21st-century platform for citizens to constructively engage with city governments on a day-to-day basis, not just to redress grievances, but to contribute ideas and solutions. The ‘Open Cities’ framework, being spatial and data-driven, would also provide a common vocabulary and syntax for citizens, mayors and councillors and civic agency officials to communicate with each other. Using IVR and SMS-based technologies, almost 100 per cent coverage can potentially be achieved in terms of possible linkage of citizens to such a platform, given mobile penetration in Indian cities is greater than 100% on average. The proposed ‘Open Cities’ framework will not be solely a tech-enabled online framework, but possess strong elements of direct engagement with citizens through participatory budgeting and volunteer engagement processes where citizens are able to play an active role in adopting civic issues and causes.
One of the key features of the Smart Cities Mission apart from providing urban infrastructure facilities is good governance through E-governance and citizen participation. As a ‘smart solution’ to urban governance challenges, many cities have set up an Integrated Command Control Centre (ICCC) which acts as an effective grievance redressal system for citizens through using the web-based portal and mobile application. Municipal services are closely monitored through ICT interventions, and GIS technology is employed to improve the delivery of these services. Smart Cities also have initiatives to directly involve citizens in the planning and implementation of smart projects. These initiatives allow city governments to design and implement projects which are best suited to the needs of their citizens.

MoHUA launched Swachhata in 2014 as a part of the Swachh Bharat Mission, as an online platform that allows citizens to register grievances which the cities are mandated to resolve within stipulated timeframes ranging from 12 hours to 1 week (depending on the nature of the complaint and to the satisfaction of the citizen). In an effort to encourage active civic participation, in the Swachhata Citizen Application citizens can upload pictures and complaints related to health and sanitation which would be forwarded to the municipal corporation for action as per the service level agreement. Users of the app can also upvote on complaints and share them with others affected by the issue and allows its users to send feedback and comments on the action taken by the corporation. Citizens get updates and notifications on the status of their complaints. Till now, more than two crore citizens have been engaged across 4,503 cities since 2016. There have been 2.7+ crore complaints with a resolution rate of 93 per cent.

Another initiative is the MyGov platform which was launched in 2014 and aims to bridge the gap between the common man and the government. It creates an online forum for constructive dialogue between experts who wish to contribute to the socio-economic development of India. The Union and 22 state governments where MyGov is operational, leverage the platform for civic engagements, consultations for policy conception and disseminate information on schemes, programmes and missions. The platform has features such as Groups, Discuss, Poll, Survey, and Quiz available to all citizens to offer feedback directly to government departments and ministries. Through an innovative approach to civic participation, MyGov has garnered over 3 crore users, 55 lakh comments, 14 lakh task submissions and 20 lakh votes in polls of different topics as of May 2023.
Target outcomes for cities through open cities and participatory budgeting

1. Real-time engagement, three-way communication between citizens, elected representatives and city government officials.

2. Full transparency in respect of public amenities and assets of the municipality, neighbourhood-level budgets and works undertaken by the municipality including details of geo-spatial location, contractor details, copies of tenders, payments made, budgets, etc.

3. Constructive engagement at neighbourhood level.

Model

JAGA Mission

JAGA Mission, the world’s largest slum titling programme, was started in 2017 for dignity and inclusion of the residents of nearly 3,000 urban slums in the entire state of Odisha.

Tenurial security was first achieved, with spatial technology (high-resolution drone imagery to locate and define slum boundaries which was also GIS-mapped), understanding land ownership and ensuring land rights or entitlements for 1.3 million urban poor for availing social entitlements, municipal recognition, upgradation and integration of the slums fully into the city’s economy. This was followed by the second phase of transforming the slums into liveable habitats by providing basic infrastructure and services – where in less than five years, 1,200 slums have been upgraded in-situ with a list of nine key amenities and services.

Significant activities: Community leadership and asset ownership with women at the forefront.

- 2,919 slum collectives (known as ‘Slum Dwellers Associations’ (SDA)) created to build and engage with communities. These act as a veritable ‘fourth tier of governance’ by fixing leadership and participation gaps in urban informal communities.
- By signing a memorandum of understanding with city governments to create, operationalise, and maintain community assets (including a tracking tool to monitor real-time progress of activities); the SDAs are recognised as equal partners of change.
- Each SDA requires 50 per cent women’s representation.
- 25 per cent municipal budgets allocated by law to urban poor communities.
### Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Union</strong></td>
<td>• Include implementation of substantive provisions of CPL and PDL as performance conditions in future urban missions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
<td>• Amend municipal legislations to mandate citizen participation in city budgeting</td>
<td>• Enact CPL and PDL, notify rules and implement them in spirit • Create an office of the ombudsman for citizen grievance redressal exclusively for city governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City</strong></td>
<td>• Adopt open data standards, usher in radical transparency in finances and operations; systematically provide actionable data at a neighbourhood level • Implement participatory budgeting</td>
<td>• Implement amended rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments of Change

7 Municipal Taxation and Transfers

Improve the financial position of city governments through predictable transfers and own revenue enhancement and make local governments financially sound.

"India’s cities require a large quantum of long-term capital to meet their capex needs. They need to reduce their dependence on financial assistance from union and states, and build robust own revenue streams – both tax and non-tax revenues, including fees and user charges. The larger AMRUT cities having relatively better capacities are well placed to employ innovative approaches of unlocking the vast available private capital from sources like pension, insurance and mutual funds besides large infrastructure-focused financing institutions. The Ministry’s recent initiatives under AMRUT mission are providing the necessary policy support and incentives to catalyse reforms for municipal own revenue enhancement and strengthening the municipal borrowings/bonds market."

D Thara IAS
Additional Secretary,
Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs
Government of India
City governments in India need predictable fiscal transfers from union and state governments, and far greater powers and accountability for levy and collection of taxes, fees and user charges

The High-Powered Expert Committee, 2011, appointed by the erstwhile ministry of urban development, estimated the urban capital investment requirement for the 20-year period (2012–13 to 2031–32) to be of the order of INR 39.2 trillion (US$593 billion), plus an estimated INR 19.9 trillion (US$301 billion) towards operation and maintenance for ensuring adequate access to municipal services. More recently, the World Bank estimates India’s urban infrastructure financing requirement at USD 840 billion over the next 15 years i.e. USD 55 billion per year on average. However, the share of own revenue (both tax and non-tax) in the total revenue of city governments in India has declined over time approximately from 31 to 21 per cent, while that of government transfers has increased. Empirical evidence has shown that the greater dependency of local governments on upper tiers of governments to meet their expenditure needs makes them more vulnerable and less efficient.

The lack of adequate own revenue sources severely constrains the ability of our cities to invest in infrastructure and service delivery in a manner that is responsive to the needs of its citizens.

Fiscal decentralisation remains a nascent reform. Only one state, Assam has devolved the power to levy all key taxes that too only to municipal corporations.

Article 243X of the Constitution mandates devolving the power (with a ‘may’ provision instead of ‘shall’) to levy taxes, duties, fees, and tolls according to the limits set and procedures laid down by the legislature of the state government. Most states have been reluctant to undertake measures to enhance powers of city governments over taxation and levy of fees and user charges. Buoyant revenue sources such as stamp duties and registration charges on properties and motor vehicle registration charges are generally not devolved to cities or are very nominally assigned.

---

27 Reserve Bank of India. (2022b). Report on Municipal Finances. https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/Publications/PDFs/RMF10122022A34Ca/70234d4ba3a34c3d/7F6E68B1D0.PDF
The Economic Survey of India 2016-2017 found, however, that having powers to impose a greater number of taxes does not necessarily result in greater revenues for city governments. Factors such as the size of the tax base, the efficiency of tax collection, and the level of economic activity in the city areas influence the generation of revenues. This plays out clearly in the case of property tax which is the most dominant tax revenue stream for city governments in India. Even though all state governments have devolved the power to levy property tax, they have not given adequate autonomy to city governments in determining the levy. The procedures for valuation, exemptions and concessions, the floor and ceiling rates of tax, and administrative and enforcement mechanisms on matters such as delay, arrears, and evasion are laid down by the states. Except for a few states like Kerala and Maharashtra, the final authority on enumeration and assessment of properties lies with the state government or with the state-level committees/boards.

"Property tax is decided by the state government. Should be decided by the municipal corporation or at least at a district level. There is huge difference between the level of development in Panchkula and Pundri – development charges cannot be the same."

**City government official**

Pundri

*Requested anonymity*

---

"Amendments to municipal legislations post the introduction of GST Act, 2017 are only considered.

---

The XV finance commission of India in its report for 2020-21 states that for city governments to qualify for grants from 2021-22 onwards, state governments have to notify floor rates for property tax and thereafter show consistent improvement in collection in tandem with the growth rate of state’s own GSDP. The Ministry of Finance, as part of the additional borrowing of 2 per cent of GSDP to states for 2020-21 under the ‘Atmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyan’, has also called for states to reform property tax valuation (linked to 0.25 per cent of the additional borrowing), by linking floor rates to prevailing guidance values/circle rates and putting in a system for periodic revision of property tax rates (similarly for user charges). As a first step towards achieving these, MoHUA has published ‘A Toolkit for Property Tax Reforms’ to provide an easy to use handbook for policymakers who are interested in property tax reforms. Most recently, the Ministry of Finance announced a INR 5,000 crores Scheme for Special Assistance to States for Capital Investment 2023-24 – Part IV (Financing Reforms in Urban Local Bodies to make them creditworthy for Municipal Bonds and for Issue of Municipal Bonds). The scheme incentivises states that are undertaking property tax governance reforms ranging from creation of GIS-based dynamic digital property register, integration of all municipal records with utility and stamp duty registration records and creation of an MIS system for driving data-based decision making.

Even as efforts are made to devolve greater powers over taxation, there is an urgent need to ensure city governments optimise existing own revenue potential that is within their full control. Available information shows that compared to the developing countries’ average of 0.7 per cent of GDP, property taxation in India only generates 0.2 per cent of GDP.30

Currently, there is an absence of credible data that is available on the extent of revenue efficiency in city governments. Further, even where data is available, a serious evaluation of each stage of the lifecycle of each revenue stream such as property tax, user charges, lease rentals and parking fees and so on and thereafter measures to gain assurance on revenue efficiency, has not been undertaken.

All of the above said, global experience in decentralisation indicates there is no one right way to devolve funds to cities. Countries such as Brazil and United Kingdom which have provided greater powers to city governments constitutionally have opted to assign a percentage of federally levied revenues rather than devolve those revenue streams to city governments. In such a case however, predictability of fiscal transfers basis well-defined formulae need to be ensured.

How do we fix this?

Fiscal decentralisation is critical to securing the financial sustainability of cities and therefore infrastructure and service delivery. A useful starting point for the agenda of fiscal decentralisation could be to arrive at how much money is required to meet the functional obligations of a city government, and therefore what revenue powers need to be devolved and how much funds need to be devolved as fiscal transfers (besides appropriately factoring in municipal borrowings). The union government could demonstrate thought leadership by commissioning an empirical framework that state governments and city governments of different sizes and types can use.

---

A systematic effort needs to be undertaken to identify, and devolve or assign to city governments, buoyant revenue streams which are linked to economic growth of the city. In the case of major revenue streams such as stamp duties and registration charges, motor vehicle charges, and even GST, there is a need for a framework at the state level in respect of devolution or assignment of revenue streams to city governments. The ability of city governments to gain a share of the economic growth of their cities would also be enhanced through greater powers over land and property.

Additionally, city governments need to optimise own revenues. A “whole of systems” transformation comprising the five stages of the property tax lifecycle (figure 17), rather than a piecemeal approach would serve city governments well. A similar approach could be adopted for fees and user charges as well.

There is also a need for state governments to increase grants through SFCs and reduce discretionary and tied grants, so as to facilitate medium term fiscal planning by city governments. SFCs may arrive at a steady mix of own revenues and grants (incl. assigned revenues) for city governments every five years, and track performance against the same.

Figure 17: The five stages of property tax life cycle

**Enumeration**
Count of properties is complete and accurate and updated correctly

**Valuation**
All properties are valued appropriately for the purpose of taxation

**Assessment**
- All re-assessments (enhancements) in properties are captured in the property register
- Self-assessments are adequately verified on the field

**Billing and Collection**
- All properties are billed
- Property tax is collected from all properties that have been billed/self-assessed

**Reporting**
Property tax data is reported accurately and reviewed systematically as input into decision-making
Target outcomes for cities through municipal taxation and transfers

1. Formula-based predictable transfers from state government based on SFC recommendations

2. Optimise property tax, fee and user charge collection

3. Powers over or reasonable share of buoyant revenue streams to gain a share of city’s economic growth

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>• Incentivise property tax, fee and user charge reforms</td>
<td>• Evolve empirical framework to determine cost of service delivery/expenditure obligations of city governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Constitute SFCs and implement recommendations • Build shared capacities at state level to circumvent the challenges of human resources</td>
<td>• Evolve devolution framework for major revenue streams • Amend state acts/rules on property tax, fees and user charges, and devolve full powers over such revenue sources to city governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>• Improve return on assets, particularly on leased properties • Undertake technological interventions to improve property tax billing and collection efficiency</td>
<td>• Optimise revenue by evaluating each stage of the revenue streams, and thereafter measures to gain assurance on revenue efficiency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instruments of Change

Municipal Borrowings and Audited Financial Statements

Catalyse large-scale financing for urban infrastructure through municipal borrowings, on the back of a robust framework for financial and performance reporting by city governments.

"Urban infrastructure begins in our towns and cities, created and maintained by municipal corporations, which can be financed by issuance of municipal bonds. Such municipal bond issuance connotes a superior corporation, that has well-organized finances, due financial and related records, and has aligned itself with the bond market to bring best value for its stakeholders. We look forward to more and more.

Municipal corporations becoming integrated with the Indian Bond Markets and winning accolades from investors and citizens alike!"

Pramod Rao
Executive Director
Securities & Exchange Board of India
Even as we further fiscal decentralisation and revenue optimisation, we need to orient city governments towards market-based financing and robust financial and performance reporting on which such financing is contingent.

The World Bank estimates India's urban infrastructure financing requirement at USD 840 billion over the next 15 years i.e. USD 55 billion per year on average. This financing requirement is much larger than the current trends in grants to and own revenues of city governments. RBI estimates total revenue receipts at 0.72% of the GDP in FY 2019-20 for municipal corporations i.e. USD 12.8 billion. Yet while union and state governments by themselves and through various affiliated entities engage in capital markets actively, city governments in India have not taken advantage of their possibilities. Over the last 27 years since the first municipal bond was issued, municipal bond issuances in India have amounted to approx. INR 4,433 crores.

The lack of robust financial and performance reporting including budgetary processes, besides certain specific enabling institutions and institutional mechanisms have inhibited the rapid growth of municipal borrowings in India.

India's cities are also characterised by weak levels of financial transparency and financial and performance reporting. As can be seen in table 9, overall, mega and large capital cities have relatively better financial transparency.

Did you know?

The RBI report on municipal finances (2022) points out that 97 municipalities in South Africa have borrowed the equivalent of US$4.7 billion of which one-third is through bond issuances, during 2003 - 2021 period.

Table 9: Asymmetry of financial transparency in the capital cities of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial data</th>
<th>Mega Cities &gt;4 Mn</th>
<th>Large Cities 1-4 Mn</th>
<th>Medium Cities 500 k-1 Mn</th>
<th>Small Cities &lt;500 k</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual audited financial statement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly audited financial statement</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City budget</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward budget</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal audit</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Websites of city governments of 35 capital cities as of 31st July, 2023
A key signal of weak Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) is the significant budget variance of city governments (> 30 per cent). Our analysis of the receipts and expenditure of 12 cities in India over three years shows that cities on average have a budget variance of 43 per cent in terms of receipts and 45 per cent in expenditure. Cities such as Patna, Kochi and Hyderabad perform the worst with budget variances significantly higher than the average.

Figure 18: **Budget variance (receipts and expenditure) varies across cities in India**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Government</th>
<th>Budget variance (receipts)</th>
<th>Budget variance (expenditure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patna</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kochi</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucknow</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengaluru</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhubaneshwar</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Budget documents of city governments (2015-22)

Our analysis shows that 22 out of 35 states/UTs have mandated for external audit of annual accounts across all city government categories. However, only two out of 35 states/UTs (Assam and Karnataka) have even mandated city governments to draw up medium-term fiscal plans, leave alone implemented them. Medium-term fiscal plans are necessary to ensure annual budgets are anchored in a medium-term perspective and subject to required levels of analytical rigour and checks and balances in budget management.
In her budget speech 2023-24, Finance Minister Nirmala Sitharaman stated that cities will be encouraged to undertake urban planning reforms. To be able to raise adequate resources for urban infrastructure development, transit-oriented growth, etc., she recognised the need for incentives to help cities improve their credit worthiness for municipal bonds.

The Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI) issued the ‘Issue and Listing of Debt Securities by Municipalities’ (ILDM) Regulations in 2015 that have expanded the municipal bond market in India by providing greater flexibility to municipalities while strengthening protection for investors. Subsequently, there has been an increase in municipal bonds issuances since 2015. 56 per cent of the total issuances to date amounting to INR 2,464 crores over 15 issuances have been raised post 2015 by 11 Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) and 1 State government agency. Of these 11 ULBs, 6 have been first time issuers.

Over the years, MoHUA has been providing incentives to city governments for issuance of municipal bonds at the rate of INR 13 crores per INR 100 crores of issuances. Under AMRUT, credit rating was undertaken for 468 cities. 163 cities received investible grade rating with 36 cities getting A- or above.

Ministry of Finance recently announced a INR 5,000 crores Scheme for Special Assistance to States for Capital Investment 2023-24 – Part IV (Financing Reforms in Urban Local Bodies to make them creditworthy for Municipal Bonds and for Issue of Municipal Bonds). This scheme incentivises states for taking material steps to undertake a pooled municipal bond issuance.
How do we fix this?

While a wide gamut of reforms are needed in the financial management of city governments, the catalytic reform which is a necessary (but not sufficient) condition to catalyse large-scale municipal borrowings is publication of timely, audited and comparable financial statements by city governments. By financial statements here we refer to the full set of general purpose financial statements comprising audit report, balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement, schedules to balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement, significant accounting policies and notes to accounts. Audited financial statements serve as instruments of trust between the entity in question, here the city government, and a range of market players such as banks, financial institutions and lenders, credit rating agencies, investors and even citizens. When audited by independent experts (say chartered accountants), they establish the credibility of the city governments with respect to its financial position and financial performance. While the XV finance commission has taken a giant leap by mandating publication of audited financial statements by all city governments in India to access any grants, most state municipal acts do not yet mandate publication of timely and audited financial statements by their city governments.

FRBM legislation for cities (or equivalent provisions in state municipal acts) that inter alia mandates the empanelment of chartered accountants and the publication of audited financial statements within pre-defined timelines (say four months before the close of the financial year) would be a positive first step. Further, to facilitate a consolidated and comparable view of the finances of city governments, eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) (or equivalent) needs to be actively explored.

We also need enabling institutions and institutional mechanisms to support city governments, particularly those that do not possess the skills and capabilities or economies of scale to avail of municipal borrowings in a cost effective manner. Some of them include:

- Urban Infrastructure Development Finance Corporations (UIDFCs) at state level for pooled borrowings and shared capacities including in project identification, project development, DPR preparation, inventorising land and property, asset management and optimization and procurement.
- New metropolitan institutions for larger cities to realise the full economic potential of their economies and channelise them into financing projects.
- Credit guarantee and equivalent support.
Target outcomes for cities through municipal borrowings and audited financial statements

1. National Municipal Accounting Manual 2.0 which would be modern, contemporary and digital with XBRL duly incorporated

2. State acts mandate FRBM provisions on MTFPS, cap on budget variances and audited accounts

3. Urban Infrastructure Development Finance Corporation (UIDFCs) created/repurposed for pooled borrowings, shared capacities

Metropolitan level institutions to leverage the full potential of million plus cities in financing projects

Model

**Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management) Rules, 2021**

The BBMP Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) Rules, 2021 was introduced by Government of Karnataka to bring Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (BBMP) under the overall ambit of fiscal responsibility as enunciated first in the Karnataka Local Fund Authorities Fiscal Responsibility Act 2003.

The primary objectives of the FRBM rules were to:

i) ensure annual budgets are realistic and commensurate with revenue track record and potential

ii) mandate medium term fiscal plans and

iii) usher in radical transparency in budgets and civic works and citizen participation in budgeting.

Key provisions of the rules are as follows:

- Mandates BBMP to take appropriate measures to eliminate the revenue deficit and build up adequate revenue surplus
- A four year rolling medium-term fiscal plan (reviewed annually) with 21 fiscal indicators
- Audited annual accounts of the previous year to be published before 31st August each year
- Mandates public consultation in city budgeting and sets aside 10 per cent of the annual available amount for development works for works recommended by citizens

### Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Union**          | • Evolve National Municipal Accounting Manual 2.0 including XBRL-based reporting for budgets and accounts of city governments  | • Incentivise adoption of NMAM 2.0 and XBRL by states  
|                    | • Publish models and templates for FRBM for municipalities                                                 | • Institutionalise cityfinance.in as a public good                                                                                                     |
| **State**          | • Create/repurpose urban infrastructure development finance corporations/equivalent                        | • Enact FRBM legislation, mandate audit of annual accounts by CAs by 31 July each year                                                                     |
|                    | • Inventorise public lands in cities; reimagine project procurement                                         |                                                                                                                                                       |
| **City**           |                                                                                                                                                      | • Implement budgeting and accounting reforms                                                                                                           |
Digital Public Finance Management

Digital grant management tools for timely transfer of grants to city governments, tracking of physical and financial progress of infrastructure projects and reporting and monitoring based on a single source of trusted data.

“Grants dashboard is a technology-driven tool for financial management on real-time basis for monitoring expenditure across 115 ULBs in the state of Odisha. It’s not only providing data on budget vis a vis expenditure, but also helping in data analytics for better decision-making at the State and ULB level. Recently, Housing and Urban Development Department in collaboration with team Janaagraha implemented the grants dashboard. It also has API integration with IFMS, so that real-time data is available on financial management for different schemes. It is even pacing the expenditure across the year along with the Monthly Expenditure Plan (MEP) and Quarterly Expenditure Plan (QEP) for all stakeholders.”

Sanjay Pramanik
Officer on Special Duty and Ex Financial Advisor
Housing and Urban Development Department
Government of Odisha
Current Public Finance Management (PFM) systems depend heavily on manual interventions, do not offer an integrated view of expenditure performance of city governments.

PFM systems process and monitor the transfer of financial resources between levels of government. These processes also ensure the timely disbursal of funds and their effective utilisation. They enable tracking of financial outlays alongside physical outputs through a full lifecycle or journey of the rupee from origin to destination.

PFM systems are critical because on average, grants constitute 55 per cent of the total income of city governments in India, and these grants are processed through PFM systems. PFM systems for cities are mostly manual or based on disparate, fragmented IT systems (accounting systems, IFMIS, e-office, PFMS, stand-alone MISs etc.). State governments are unable to ascertain in a reasonable, holistic manner which city is eligible for what all sources of funds, what eligibility criteria they have fulfilled and not fulfilled and reasons thereof, how much of the eligible grants they have drawn down, and what stage of procurement and expenditure they are at in utilising the grants, and finally whether outputs or services accomplished with the grants are at predefined standards. City governments too are unable to fully access and utilise grants in a timely manner. Digital PFM systems can catalyse a transformation in grant management in cities.

City governments predominantly access grants (and scheme funds) from up to six broad sources, namely, central finance commission grants, Swachh Bharat Mission funds, AMRUT funds, Smart Cities Mission funds, state finance commission grants and discretionary grants from state governments (the last category itself may have several sub-categories in the form of state schemes and missions). Under each of these sources, transfers to city governments are subject to fulfilment of predefined eligibility criteria or conditions. Today there is no mechanism to ensure that city governments draw down all funds they are eligible for and utilise them effectively and efficiently. This results in poor resource efficiency, inefficient and ineffective public expenditure management and poor infrastructure and service delivery for citizens.

How do we fix this?

A comprehensive digital PFM system with the ability to track fund utilisation of city governments, and parastatals and map the assets created from the various grants and other transfers from union and state governments, can significantly strengthen public expenditure management across states. The digital PFM system will help achieve the following:

- Convey the information about allocation under different schemes at the start of the year, instalments, quantum, related compliances, and due date
- Track compliances across levels for providing visibility of status and enabling corrective action
- Monitor grant disbursal and expenditure by city governments/parastatals on real real-time basis
- Provide real-time expenditure data, patterns and forecasts for making budget reallocation decisions
- Track projects/outputs throughout the project lifecycle for monitoring of ongoing works and outputs
- Monitor key performance indicators scheme/city government wise
- Make available data (at least on allocation, release, utilisation and outputs) in the public domain, bolstering accountability

Automated grants management systems: A use-case for digital PFM

1. Paperless grant processing
2. Just-in-time release of funds
3. Expenditure tracking
4. Output and outcome monitoring
5. Integrated view of ULB finances

Target outcome for cities through digital PFM

1. Grant dashboard for real-time monitoring of expenditure by city governments/parastatals for state/central transfers (spending efficiency, data-backed decision on allocation/mid-year re-allocation)
2. Improved budgetary, accounting & auditing processes of city governments - build tools to facilitate budget preparation and execution
Model
cityfinance.in

Cityfinance.in portal is the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA), Government of India’s national municipal finance platform. It was launched in June 2020 and aims to solve the problem of availability of standardised, timely and credible financial information on India’s cities, starting with city governments. The portal facilitates benchmarking, comparison and peer learning between cities (ULBs).

Since November 2020, MoHUA, the nodal ministry at the union level for XV finance commission (XV FC) grants to city governments has been using the web-based grant management system, www.cityfinance.in/fc_grant for managing a substantial portion of XV FC grant lifecycle. This has a direct impact on fiscal transfers of over INR 1 lakh crores from the Government of India to all 4,700+ cities across 28 states for the award period 2021-22 to 2025-26.

The grant management system on cityfinance.in has digitised workflows and all data has been generated in a digital, machine-readable format. As of 1st July 2023, over 3,000 city governments have published audited and provisional annual accounts for financial years 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22. Over 4,400 city governments across India actively use and engage with cityfinance.in to claim their XV FC grants. Dashboards at state and MoHUA levels help in monitoring progress of city governments on compliance with eligibility criteria for XV FC grants on a real-time basis.

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>• Link all MISs of centrally sponsored schemes &amp; XV finance commission to have real-time tracking of compliance, grant and outputs city-wise</td>
<td>• Develop data standards for enabling interaction between different digital systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| State              | • Take steps to link existing digital solutions through Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)  
• Develop a grant management system based on evolving digital PFM principles like shared registries, data standards, interoperability, open – standard APIs, using open source and digital public goods  
• Pilot the grant management system in select cities for select schemes | • On-board all schemes and city governments/parastatals on the grant management system  
• Adopt a framework that will guide development of any new systems, ensuring data exchanges between existing systems  
• Scale digital PFM systems state-wide |
| City               | • Implement digital PFM systems to manage finance and accounts                     |                                                                                                                                  |
Instruments of Change

10 Municipal Shared Services and Cadre and Recruitment Rules

A contemporary and strategic approach to human resource management will fundamentally transform the organisational effectiveness of city governments.

“In the realm of urban development, Municipal Shared Services offer a strategic solution for smaller Indian cities grappling with staffing challenges, allowing them to optimize their resources, embrace digital tools, and build capacities collectively, thus fostering a resilient and capable urban landscape.”

G Mathi Vathanan IAS
Principal Secretary
Housing and Urban Development Department
Government of Odisha
City governments face three serious deficits as far as talent (used interchangeably with human resources and staffing) is concerned. They are:

1. A quantity problem, relating to the adequacy of the number of staff required to meet service levels commensurate with population and benchmarks.

Our analysis of the CAG’s performance audit reports on the implementation of 74th CAA across 13 states covering 470 city governments shows that, on average, there is 36 per cent vacancy across city governments.

**Figure 19:** Vacancy progressively worsens as we go from municipal corporations to town panchayats

![Vacancy graph](image)

Source: Analysis basis the CAG’s Performance Audit reports on the implementation of 74th CAA across 13 states for the period 2015-2020 (and, Kerala from 2015 – 2021)

**Figure 20:** In a comparison between mega cities of India and global cities such as London and New York and Johannesburg, even though our cities have relatively equal or even more population, municipal staff per lakh population is significantly less

![Staff per lakh population graph](image)

Source: RTI Applications, City Govt. websites

Note: Data has not been normalised for functions
While our analysis shows that 24 out of 35 states/UTs have municipal cadres in India, mostly, the cadre and recruitment rules only mention bare minimum qualifications. For example, in Bengaluru, the only criteria for the joint commissioner - solid waste management is that she be deputed from the IAS or from state administrative service.\(^\text{32}\) There is no mention of any managerial or technical competencies, or of relevant work experience required for the role. While Odisha provides that the post of chief finance officer of the city (direct recruitment) must have completed CA under ICAI or CWA from ICWAI,\(^\text{33}\) the recruitment rules do not mention managerial or behavioural competencies.\(^\text{34}\) The Telangana municipal ministerial subordinate service rules 2012 (applicable for the revenue department) allows personnel with 12th grade to become a revenue officer based on seniority. In comparison, global cities appear to have detailed and scientific workforce planning and accordingly layout job descriptions to match skills and qualifications with the job. For example, in the city of

A quality problem, relating to skill sets and competencies possessed by municipal staff to deliver public services at commensurate service levels.

While our analysis shows that 24 out of 35 states/UTs have municipal cadres in India, mostly, the cadre and recruitment rules only mention bare minimum qualifications. For example, in Bengaluru, the only criteria for the joint commissioner - solid waste management is that she be deputed from the IAS or from state administrative service.\(^\text{32}\) There is no mention of any managerial or technical competencies, or of relevant work experience required for the role. While Odisha provides that the post of chief finance officer of the city (direct recruitment) must have completed CA under ICAI or CWA from ICWAI,\(^\text{33}\) the recruitment rules do not mention managerial or behavioural competencies.\(^\text{34}\)

In terms of grades, CAG’s performance audit on the implementation of 74th CAA finds that in Haryana, staff vacancy is 98 per cent in public health and 83 per cent in sanitation. In Himachal Pradesh, there is 43 per cent and 60 per cent vacancy in sanitation and forest departments respectively.

The absence of adequate staff has a direct impact on service delivery. Economic Survey 2016-17 found correlations between better service delivery and staffing, inferring that more human resources may be associated with higher public expenditure and therefore better outcomes. The staff per capita in some of India’s largest city governments is up to six times lesser than some of the best run cities in the world, as per figure 20 above.

“Staff is very less. The sanitary inspector is not able to visit as he has three charges. We had one accountant, and he also left. Another one has an additional charge. We have one municipal engineer and two junior engineers, all three are outsourced (not regular staff).”

City government official*
Hansi
*Requested anonymity


33 Chartered Accountancy under Institute of Charted Accountants of India or Cost and Works Accountancy from Institute of Cost and Works Accountant of India

Johannesburg, for the position of 'executive director in economic development', the job description mentions technical requirements such as sector-specific experience in economic development, a comprehensive understanding of local government and its policy frameworks, etc. (Refer Annexure 2).

Systematic learning and development is critical to bolster skills and competencies of municipal staff.

City government staff often receive negligible training to perform their functions. Studies conducted by Janaagraha in Haryana35 show that inadequate technical capability, lack of role clarity and lack of training is a challenge across all types of city governments and functions.

Our analysis shows that only 14 out of 35 states (Arunachal Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Karnataka (Bengaluru), Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra (municipal corporations), Odisha, Telangana, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal (Kolkata) mandate any kind of training for officials. Our analysis of the CAG’s performance audit reports across 13 states shows that five states namely, Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Manipur and Uttarakhand have no state or city level training institutes for building staff capacity. Out of the nine states that have training institutes, only Kerala conducts an impact assessment of its training programmes.

The training conducted for city governments is usually fixed in nature and topic (conducted regularly and mandated at the state training institutes) or ad-hoc. India’s city governments need a learning and development programme that is based on a competency gap assessment, in turn based on what outcomes are expected out of each role, and what the position-wise need for training, across behavioural, domain, and functional areas, provided on time as per need, and with a feedback loop assessing the training for its impact on the learning and service delivery outcomes of the government official.

Did you know?

The Performance Management of Johannesburg is the primary mechanism to monitor, review and improve the implementation of the city's Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and to assess the progress made in achieving the objectives set out in the IDP. It is an integrated approach that links citywide to individual level performance management. The City’s IDP informs the development of key areas of performance and targets across the performance levels. The key performance areas and indicators of performance, contained in the organisational scorecard, are cascaded into departmental and municipal entity scorecards, as well as into individual scorecards. The city manager is the custodian of the city scorecard and agrees with the Executive Mayor, as representative of the employer, on the delivery aspects of the scorecard. Both organizational and individual performances are assessed quarterly. Performance is rewarded with performance bonuses for employees.

---

There exists no performance measurement and management system in city governments. There are also no performance-based incentives mechanism in any city, other than favourable remarks in the Annual Performance or Confidential Reports of individual staff. Bengaluru is the only city in the country that is mandated to establish a performance management system. However, the same has not been implemented as the corresponding rules are yet to be notified. Performance management systems that objectively measure performance at individual, team and/or function and organisation levels, and a system of incentives aligned to the same needs to be an essential component of any contemporary human resource management system.

“Staff capability is an issue in the property tax department, many of them don’t know how to use a computer also when they get deputed. There is no capacity building.”

City government official
Gurugram
*Requested anonymity

03 An organisational design problem, relating to whether the number and quality of staff at different levels in the organisation are appropriate to the outcome expected.

The CAG audit report on the implementation of 74th CAA for the state of Karnataka found that 97 per cent of staff in city governments belonged to Groups C and D. Figure 21 shows that Karnataka has only 3 per cent of its total staff dedicated to management and administration of the whole organisation. Such a narrow leadership base and expansive span of control inhibits effective human resource management.

Figure 21: Grade-wise staff strength in the city governments of Karnataka

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>670 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1,088 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>17,149 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>37,586 (67%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CAG’s performance audit on the implementation of 74th CAA in Karnataka

---

* Section 128, BBMP Act, 2020
In 2014, Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) mandated states to establish separate cadres for municipal administration in set fields posted only to city governments as a criteria to receive urban development funds.

In 2015, AMRUT also followed suit by including the 'constitution and professionalisation of municipal cadre' as part of its reforms, with four milestones over set timelines. As of 2019, 265 city governments under AMRUT mission across 21 states have their own municipal cadres.

In 2020, Mission Karmayogi, now ‘Karmayogi Bharat’ was launched as an ambitious civil service reform, that is being adopted by some States and can easily be adapted for city governments.

How do we fix this?

Cadre and recruitment rules that generally govern human resource management in city governments, by whatever name called, need to be overhauled and brought up to date to contemporary standards that are fit for purpose in the Indian context. They need to cover i) number of staff required in each position in each function of the city government based on relevant underlying key drivers in turn based on a robust estimation framework, ii) job descriptions based on skills and competencies (behavioural, domain and functional) needed for each position, iii) appropriate organisation design in terms of span of control, work allocation and reporting lines, iv) a robust performance management system comprising key result areas at department and individual level, and appropriate performance measurement and related incentive structure and v) a learning and development programme.
The union government’s ‘Karmayogi Bharat’ uses a Framework of Roles, Activities and Competencies (FRAC) process, which establishes the particular activities conducted by each role a person plays with the competencies a person is required to have to effectively deliver on the outcomes expected from them. This is coupled with the Integrated Government Online Training platform (i-GOT), a digitally enabled tool for training supported by knowledge resources. The same is in the process of being adopted by some states and adapted for select departments by others. This can easily be replicated for a particular purpose of city governments, most of which will be typical across sizes and governed by the same rules, in a given state.

The organisation effectiveness of a city government is not dependent on people or human resources alone, but also on process design and workflows that the people are engaged in. It is imperative that a process mapping be conducted, starting from organisational diagrams, role allocations, and task mapping with related stages gates and documentation (approvals/proofs signifying completion). This will lead to identification of redundancies and stress points or bottlenecks that hamper performance towards service outcomes. If these are quantitative, it can lead to hiring or procurement. But if these are qualitative, they can be identified in terms of process clarity, standard operating procedures, or simple tools/aids that can assist delivery.

It is equally imperative that specific functions and services which officials presently handle which could be easily better managed (cost-efficiency and service-quality wise) by specialised service providers. The providers can be recruited/hired as common resources between cities or between the functions of a city. Such an ‘outsourcing’ plan is not envisaged as a series of engagements with contract staff but as a comprehensive exercise to identify outsourceable or share-able functions and services, laying down new process designs and workflows, and set standards for performance and estimate costs. This is the Municipal Shared Service Centre (MSSC) model illustrated on page 105.

---

Defining MSSC

Field services
Collections/Ops & Maintenance/Monitoring, Quality certification

Models:
Swiggy, Sani Track in Wai City, Maharashtra

Back end services
Accounting/vendor payments/payroll/documentation/record keeping

Models:
Income Tax Central Processing Centre

Grievance Redressal/Feedback
Monitoring/Performance Management/MIS

Models:
Punjab Municipal Infrastructure Development Corporation, Karnataka Municipal Data Society

Front-end office services
Certificate issuance queries/application processing approvals

Models:
Passport Seva Kendra, VFS Global, Common Services Centre, Haryana Saral Kendras

Benefits:
• Improved coverage, service levels and responsiveness
• Digitalisation of citizen service lifecycle: facilitate outsourcing
• Address staffing and skill gaps
• Save costs, enhance revenues

Three kinds of services:
1. Front-end citizen services + grievence redressal
   • Birth/death certificates
   • Queries and complaints
   • One stop application for voter ID/Aadhar
   • Ration card

2. Back end processing
   • Contact-less services (e.g. DBT of pension through AI/ML triggers)
   • Accounting
   • Vendor payments
   • Payroll processing
   • Documentation and record-keeping, data entry

3. Doorstep/field services
   • Collections
   • Repairs and maintainance
   • Field supervision and monitoring, quality certification, etc.

In addition, other systematic approaches like lateral hiring, fellowships and internships that facilitate infusion of fresh market-oriented talent can make a substantive difference to organisation effectiveness and therefore urban service delivery.
There are 3,500+ smaller cities (population <1,00,000) that face significant capacity constraints, particularly for specialised functions. There is an immense opportunity to address the challenge of capacities in smaller cities by leveraging the capacities of larger cities or pooling resources between themselves for shared human resources and shared community infrastructure (for example material recovery facilities (MRF) for dry waste, or sewage treatment plants etc.).

This can also potentially be between panchayats and city governments. Emerging best practices, such as MRFs in Odisha shared with panchayats need to be replicated more broadly by states prioritising fast urbanising districts. XV Finance Commission has allocated INR 450 crores for shared municipal service models on these lines.

Target outcomes for cities through MSSC and cadre and recruitment rules

1. Cadre and recruitment (C&R) rules of city governments comprehensively updated

2. Skills and competencies defined in contemporary terms with performance measures laid down, with periodic reviews

3. Clustered approach to building capacities for < 1 lakh cities. Municipal shared services for
   - Field services (urban company/uberised models)
   - Centralised processing centres for identified back-end services*
   - Citizen seva kendras for front-end delivery

* For example, accounting, building plan sanction, vendor payments, HR processing
Model

**Passport Seva Kendra by Tata Consultancy Services**

A Public-Private Partnership (PPP) contract between the Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) and Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) in 2008 has helped deliver passport services in a simple, reliable and transparent manner. While the sovereign and fiduciary rights remain with MEA, TCS handles the front activities and has exclusive outsourcing rights. Over 60 million passports have been processed within 7 years of inception.

Some key features of the Seva Kendra services are –

1. Queue management & appointment system to reduce waiting time
2. Mobile based alerts & 24*7 call centre provide real time application status
3. Online payment system & central print facility

Some of the impact achieved include reduction in passport delivery time to 4 days (urgent) and 45 days (regular) and reduction in waiting time (during application) from 4 hours to 45 minutes.

**Action Points**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier of government</th>
<th>Short term (&lt; 1 yr)</th>
<th>Medium term (1-3 yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Union</strong></td>
<td>• Expand Karmayogi Bharat to include city government officials (login basis State acceptance) based on typical positions and courses</td>
<td>• Publish and notify model HR policies for city governments (incl. destination organisation charts, normative standards for job roles and number of staff, and model job descriptions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Create task-force to work with States for model cadre and recruitment rules and HR policies for municipal cadre officials</td>
<td>• Publish model cadre and recruitment rules applicable to municipal cadres proposed already and adopted by several states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
<td>• Adopt Karmayogi Bharat or devise equally comprehensive CBHHRM</td>
<td>• Adopt above framed HR policies and cadre and recruitment rules of the union, or create anew to bring state municipal cadre up to contemporary and market-friendly standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sponsor pilot projects to test the feasibility of technology-based outsourcing solutions in select functional areas (e.g. property tax collections, accounting) covering most cities or applicable to clusters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City</strong></td>
<td>• Conduct process and people mapping and necessary re-engineering, with CBHHRM frameworks linked to L&amp;D plans and performance metrics at staff and department level</td>
<td>• Create a medium-term workforce plan (reviewed annually), aligned to medium-term objectives and fiscal plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMRUT</td>
<td>Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIs</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>Administrative Reforms Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASICS</td>
<td>Annual Survey of India's City-Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATIs</td>
<td>Administrative Training Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBMP</td>
<td>Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Constitutional Amendment Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>Comptroller and Auditor General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Chartered Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAs</td>
<td>Combined Authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBHRM</td>
<td>Competency-Based Human Resource Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPL</td>
<td>Community Participation Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>Cost and Works Accounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLAMC</td>
<td>District Level Advisory and Monitoring Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Finance Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Financial Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPC</td>
<td>District Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRBM</td>
<td>Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHSFL</td>
<td>Global Human Settlements Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic information system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>Greater London Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSDP</td>
<td>Gross State Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>Goods and Service Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&amp;UDD</td>
<td>Housing and Urban Development Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAI</td>
<td>Institute of Chartered Accountants of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information and Communication Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICWAI</td>
<td>Institute of Cost and Works Accountants of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Integrated Development Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFMS</td>
<td>Integrated Financial Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVR</td>
<td>Interactive Voice Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incl</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JnNURM</td>
<td>Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSP</td>
<td>Jana Urban Space Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>In Thousands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEPs</td>
<td>Local Enterprise Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>Local Self Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not Applicable/Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMAM</td>
<td>National Municipal Accounts Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>Monthly Expenditure Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA</td>
<td>Member of Legislative Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>In Millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGNREGA</td>
<td>Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoHUA</td>
<td>Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Member of Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPOS</td>
<td>Mobile Point of Sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSSC</td>
<td>Municipal Shared Services Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRF</td>
<td>Material Recovery Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NITI</td>
<td>National Institution for Transforming India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURPA</td>
<td>National Urban Regional Planning Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUSPD</td>
<td>National Urban Spatial Planning and Development Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ops</td>
<td>Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDL</td>
<td>Public Disclosure Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFM</td>
<td>Public Financial Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIE</td>
<td>Preparation, Implementation and Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMAY</td>
<td>Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC</td>
<td>Pune Municipal Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPC</td>
<td>People’s Plan Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPs</td>
<td>Public-Private Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEP</td>
<td>Quarterly Expenditure Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBI</td>
<td>Reserve Bank of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>Right to Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>Swachh Bharat Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA</td>
<td>Slum Dwellers Associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDP</td>
<td>Spatial Development Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEBI</td>
<td>Securities and Exchange Board of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>State Election Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td>State Finance Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender S.U.R.E</td>
<td>Tender Specifications for Urban Road Execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCED</td>
<td>Thrissur Corporation Electricity Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWM</td>
<td>Solid Waste Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Town and Country Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TfL</td>
<td>Transport for London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIDFCs</td>
<td>Urban Infrastructure and Development Finance Corporations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBs</td>
<td>Urban Local Bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPI</td>
<td>Unified Payments Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTs</td>
<td>Union Territories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annexures

### ASICS 2023 assessment questions

#### Urban Planning and Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.no</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Is there a provision for a state spatial planning board that is mandated with planning policies and reforms for the state, and is it the final approving authority for SDP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Does the act require three levels of SDPs for cities: metropolitan, municipal and ward(s)/local a) Metropolitan SDP b) Municipal SDP c) Ward/Zonal SDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Does the law mandate the participation of all parastatals/agencies/city governments in the creation of SDPs (metro/municipal/ward)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Does the act define clearly the objectives and contents of each level of SDP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Is there a clear decentralised procedure for approvals of each level of plans? a) Does the law mandate that the metropolitan SDP be approved by the state government? b) Does the law mandate that the municipal SDP be approved by the MPC? c) Does the law mandate that the ward SDP be approved by the city government?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Is there a provision for the establishment of planning authorities for notified new towns or special developments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Is there a clear provision for a competent technical cell to enable the preparation of the SDP for each level?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Are there provisions in the act for modifications to notified SDPs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Has an MPC been constituted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Is there any provision for incentives for green buildings in cities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Does the TCP act mandate the planning for: a) City sanitation b) City resilience c) Comprehensive mobility d) Sustainability e) Heritage conservation f) Social Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Are there prescribed urban design standards to guide the execution of urban projects?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Are there enabling policies on land titling?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Are there enabling policies on land pooling/reconstitution of plots?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Is there an effective system to prevent approval of plans that are not in conformity with SDP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Is there an effective system to monitor ongoing constructions/projects for possible violations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Are there provisions to penalise plan violations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Does the law mandate public participation in the preparation of each level of plan (metropolitan, municipal and ward) through area sabhas/ward committees and other means?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Does the law mandate public scrutiny at (including objections and responses) each level of plans (metropolitan, municipal and ward) through area sabhas/ward committees and other means?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Urban Capacities and Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.no</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Is the city government empowered to set and collect the following taxes? a) Property tax b) Entertainment tax c) Profession tax d) Advertisement tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Is the city government authorised to raise borrowings without state government/union government approval?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Is the city government authorised to make investments or otherwise apply surplus funds without specific state government/union government approval?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Is the city government required by law to have a long-term and/or medium-term fiscal plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Are the annual accounts of the city government mandated to be audited by an independent/external agency?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Is the city government mandated to follow a double-entry accounting system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Have the State Finance Commissions (SFCs) been constituted in a timely manner?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Does the law mandate a minimum tenure of 2 years for the commissioner?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Are the city government officials mandated to undergo induction and periodic training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Does the law mandate to establish a performance management system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Does the city government have access to a municipal cadre for its staffing?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Empowered and Legitimate Political Representation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.no</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do city governments have the following powers with respect to its employees? a) Appointment b) Disciplinary action c) Termination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Does the mayor of the city government have a five-year term?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Is the mayor directly elected?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Does the mayor/council have the authority to appoint the municipal commissioner/chief executive of the city government?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Is the mayor an ex-officio member of the MPC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Is the city government responsible for providing all functions and services it is mandated to as per the 74th CAA?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Does the council have the final say in approving the city budget?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Are locally elected officials mandated to undergo training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Has the State Election Commission (SEC) been constituted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Is the SEC empowered to conduct delimitation of wards?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Are locally elected officials required to publicly disclose their income and assets, and their interests (in public works and contracts taken up by their immediate family)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Transparency, Accountability and Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.no</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Has the state enacted the Public Disclosure Law (PDL) and have the rules implementing the PDL been notified?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2     | Has the state government mandated city governments to publish key civic data in line with the model PDL with respect to:  
  a) Audited financial statement on quarterly basis  
  b) Audited financial statement on annual basis  
  c) Service level benchmarks  
  d) Particulars of major works  
  e) Details of plans, income and budget  
  f) Minutes of Meetings |
| 3     | Has the state adopted an open data policy that also applies to city governments? Does the state mandate the city governments to adopt open data standards for:  
  a) Annual report of works done last year  
  b) Financial information (budgets) of the corporation and of respective wards  
  c) Raw and synthesised data on civic works  
  d) Information under Right to Information, Section 4 (1) b on minutes of council meetings, rules, regulations and documents of the city government and its decision-making processes  
  e) Quarterly audited financial reports |
| 4     | Has the state government enacted the Community Participation Law (CPL) and have the rules implementing the CPL been notified? |
| 5     | Are the city governments mandated to have a participatory budgeting process in place? |
| 6     | Are the city governments required by its municipal act to carry out an internal audit (audit of process/internal controls) within a predetermined frequency, at least annual? Are the city governments mandated to publish internal audit report in the public domain? |
| 7     | Are the city governments mandated to have a digital governance policy/roadmap? |
| 8     | Has the state mandated guaranteed public service delivery to citizens? |
| 9     | Are the city governments mandated to have a citizen charter with: providing for target levels of services, timelines for delivery of services and protocols for obtaining relief where service levels are not met?  
  a) Target level of service  
  b) Timelines for delivery of service  
  c) Protocols for obtaining relief, where service levels are not met |
| 10    | Does the state provide for the constitution of an ombudsman for the city governments to cater to service-related issues as well as corruption/maladministration? |
| 11    | Is the ombudsman authorised to:  
  a) Resolve inter-agency disputes?  
  b) Investigate corruption suo moto? |
Job description for the post of ‘executive director in economic development’, Johannesburg
JOB OPPORTUNITY

Key Performance Areas:
- Market and promote and facilitate trade and Investment within the City through;
- Approve all Enterprise Development strategic frameworks and develop high level implementation plans
- Analyse inherent comparative advantages in a particular locality relative to strategic sectors and value-chains
- Advise the City on emerging and long-term economic trends affecting economic development, sustainable human development, and their policy and programme implications
- Lead preparation of Impact assessment, feasibility studies, due diligence, and associated management plans
- Provide strategic leadership to the department and contribute towards the overall management of the organization.

Leading Competencies:
- Strategic direction and leadership; People management, Program and project management;
- Financial management; Change management; Change leadership; Governance leadership.

Core Competencies:
- Moral competence; Planning and organising; Analysis and innovation; Knowledge and information management; Communication; Results and quality focus;
- Minimum competency requirements for Senior Managers

All suitably qualified candidates are encouraged to apply and will be considered. The City of Johannesburg applies the principles of employment equity as per National legislation and policy guidelines and will consider designated groups in line with these requirements.

Please take note that only online applications will be considered. Please apply by using the following link below:

https://share.eu1.hsforms.com/1BQb19F_HRiq68PdSmRQMqAew554

APPLY ONLINE VIA: www.joburg.org.za

ENQUIRIES ONLY:
Contact Person: Pelisa Sindelo
Tel No: 011 407 6560

CLOSING DATE: FRIDAY, 17 MARCH 2023

This Vacancy is open to Employees of the City of Johannesburg and External Applicants